# A review of the thalassinidean families Callianideidae Kossmann, Micheleidae Sakai, and Thomassiniidae de Saint Laurent (Crustacea, Decapoda) with descriptions of fifteen new species 

Gary C. B. POORE<br>Department of Natural Sciences, Museum of Victoria, 71 Victoria Crescent, Abbotsford, Victoria 3067 (Australia)

KEY WORDS
Crustacea, Thalassinidea, Callianideidae, Micheleidae, Thomassiniidae, systematics, new species.


#### Abstract

Three thalassinidean families with setalrows and with a seta on the scapho-gna-thite of maxilla 2 are defined and reviewed: Callianideidae (with pleopodal filaments, withour posterolateral lobes on the carapace, without anterolateral lobes on abdominal somite 1 , with short or no linea thalassinica); Micheleidae (without pleopodal filaments but with pleopodal lamellae in one genus, with posterolareral lobes on the carapace, with anterolateral lobes on abdominal somite 1, without linea thalassinica); and Thomassinidae (withour pleopodal filaments. without posterolateral lobes on the carapace. without anterolateral lobes on abifoninal somite 1, with well developed linea thalassinica). All the genera are diagnosed and keys are presented. Of the thirty-five species described and listed, fifteen are new: Marcusiaxius wamsoi, Meticonaxius noumea, M. spicatus, Michelea abranchiata, M. devaneyi, M. hortus, M. microphyllt, M. nonarcaledaniar, M. paraleara and Tethisea mindoro (Micheleidae); Crosniera corindon, C. panie, Mictaxius arno, T. moorea (Thomassiniidae). All orhers are redescribed when necessary, one in a new combination: Marcusiaxius ninutus (Coelho).


## MOTS CLÉS

crustacés, Thalassinidea, Callianideidae, Michelcidac, Thomassiniidae, systématiqué, nouvelles espèces.


#### Abstract

RÉSUMÉ Trois familles de Thalassinides munis de rangées de soies, et dont le scaphognathite de la deuxième maxille est muni d'une soie, sont deffinies et revues : Callianideidae (pléopodes avec filaments, carapace sans lobes postérolatéraux ; premier somite abdominal sans lobes antérolatéranx, linea thalassinica courte ou absente) ; Micheleidae (sans filaments aux plénpodes mais lamelles pléopodales présentes dams un genre, carapace avec lobes postérolatéraux, premier somite abdominal avec lobes antérolatéraux ; linea thalassinica absenre) ; et Thomassiniidae (sans filaments aux pléopodes, sans lobes postérolatéraux à la carapace, sans lubes antérolatéraux au premier somite abdominal, linea thalassimica bien développée). Les diagnoses des genres et des clés sont présentées.


## INTRODUCTION

The Infraorder Thalassinidea is a group of families of reptant decapods, long recognised, but for which a satisfactory diagnosis has only recently been given (Poore 1994). All have a long abdomen, the basis and ischium of pereopods $1-5$ fused, pereopod 1 chelate (rarely subchelate) and with articulation berween the carpus and propodus slight, pereopod 2 chelate or simple, pereopod 3 simple, and pereopods 4 and 5 chelate or subchelate. The only character state which all species share and which may be the synapomorphy of the infraorder is the possession of a dense row of evenly-spaced long setae along the lower margin of pereopod 2. The seventy-chree genera were placed in eleven familics and three superfamilies by Poore (1994) and a phylogeny relating them was proposed. The most commonly seen and most easily recognised families are Callianassidae (ghost shrimps), Upogebiidae (sponge shrimps) and Axiidae.
Seven genera, which are not readily placed in these families and which share chatacters with Axiidae or Callianassidae, are of special interest here. All have setal-rows (rows of short plumose setae in pits on the cephalothorax, abdomen and pereopods) and a long seta on the end of the posterior lobe of the scaphognathite of maxilla 2. They have complex taxonomic historics, recently thought to be closely related (c.g. Kensley 8 x Heard 1991), until Poore's (1994) hypothesis showed that this is not so and that they belong to three families in two superfamilies.
Callianidea H. Milne Edwards, 1837 has long been placed in its own group. Milne Edwards (1837) created the Tribe Cryptobranchiata for the only species then known, C. sypat, on the basis of the unique possession of gill-like structures on the pleopods. Instead, for the same species, Danta (1852a, b) used the name Anomobranchiata. Kossmann (1880) was the first to give the species its own family, Callianndeidae, but this was not followed by Borradaile (1903) in his indispensable review of the Thalassinidea; he placed the species in the large subfamily Callianassinae (equivalent to the modern Callianassidae and Ctenochelidae), Some apparently similar species, of which the first discove-
red was C. leura Poore ct Griffin, 1979, differ substantially from $C_{1}$ ıypa. These species also possess fringes of lamellae around the pleopods bur of a shape and number very different from those seen in the original species. The homology of these structures with those in C. opa was not questioned when these species were described as species of Callianiden, Kensley \& Heard (1991) placed these species in their new calliandeded genus Mifhelea and erected another new genus Mictaxius for a very differeme sherimp, also in Callianideidae. Ponre (1994) confined Callianideidae ro its type genus, confirmed Sakai's (1992) family Micheleidae for Michelea, and placed Mictaxius in Thomassinicdae.
Meticonaxius de Man, 1905 was erected for Meticonaxius monodon and placed in the Axiidae where it was thought to belong by several authors (de Man 1925; Barnard 1950; Balss 1957). Alrernatively, the genus has been aligned with the Callianassidae (see Bouvier 1925). De Man (1928) followed Bouvier withour further explanation and included borh Meticonaxies and Callianidor in Callianassidae. Both genera were placed in Callianideidae by Coelho \& RamosPorto (1987). Metaxias Bouvier, 1905 is an undisputed junior synomym of ATticomaxims (see de Man 1925).
Marcusiaxius Rodrigues er Carvalho, 1972, also originally described as an axiid, has been placed in synonymy with Meticonaxius by Cocho \& Ramos-Porto (1987) but its differences were confirmed by Kensley \& Heard (1991). The two genera are quite different from axitds, their cephalothorax being much more laterally compressed and being more sott-bodied. They belong to Michelcidac (sec Poote 1994).
Thomassinia de Saint Laurent, 1979 was provisionally deseribed in the Callianassidae because of its general habitus and the possession of a linea thalassinica and distiner cervical groove. It was separated into its own subfamily within the Callianassidae, largely because of the possession of epipods on pereopods 1-4 and a long seta on the maxilla 2 scaphognathite. Callianassat minima Rathbun was included in the Thomassiniinae, without being placed in an alternative genus, by de Saint Laurent \& Le Loeuff (1979) and a new genus, Crosniera, was erected for it by

Kensley \& Heard (1991). The family Thomassiniidae was recognised by Manning \& . Felder (1991) and Poore (1994).

While describing new species and investigating these taxa, work started hefore the publication of Kensley \& Heard's (1991) and Sakai's (1992) papers, it became clear that it was improbable that the seven genera belonged to a monophyletic taxon. An hyporhesis relating the three families, Callianideidae, Micheleidae and Thomassiniidae to each other and other thalassinidean raxa has been published (Poore 1994). Here all their species are listed, fifteen new species are described, some others are redescribed, and some enigmaric but poorly preserved specimens are noted.

Many of the most interesting specimens are small, less than 20 mm long, unique, and in poor condition. Limbs are frequenrly detached and the articulation between pleon and carapace is often tenuous. Few can he figured as whole animals and such illustrations appearing in this paper must be assumed to be typical of their genera. Many specimens have been stained with Chlorazol Black E or Benzonil Blue to show the distribution of serae and sculprure; limbs and mouthparts of rype specimens have been cleared in a lactic acid/glycerol mixture and stained. This rechnique has proved very successful in revealing morphological features otherwise impossible to see. Most drawings have been done using a stereomicroscope but a compound microscope was used for some of the smaller species.
Thalassinideans, like many other decapods, are setose animals; the distribution of the major setal groups is similar across wide taxonomic groupings. I have attempted to show the patterns of setation for typical species from most of the genera. In orther species only the general shape of limbs has been illustrated as an identificatory aid. On some limbs typical setae are figured and the extent of the patches of setac is shown by dotting. "Sctal-rows" are alvays shown even if other setae are not. Scalc bars on figures refer to habitus or cephalothorax drawings only and are 1 mm .

It has been necessary to define terms to describe novel features of this group and to clearly diffe-
rentiate others.
"Setal-rows" (a term introduced and figured by Kensley \& Heard 1991, figs 1, 2) are characteristic of numerous thalassinidean genera. They are plumose setae, evenly and well spaced, set in a single line of between two and thirty, and seemingly in obvious pits (which are apparent even if the serae have been knocked off). Somerimes each sera of a secal-row is accompanied by a finer minute basal sera. Setal-rows are found only anterolaterally on the cephalothorax, laterally on each abdominal somite, and on the lateral surface of propodi of pereopods 2-4. They are not to be confused with rows or patches of setae found along the margins of limbs or dorsally on the cephalothoras and abdomen. Such setae are usually simple and, even if arranged in linear patches, are usually closely placed and never in a single row. The number and distribution of setal-rows are usually consistent within genera. I distinguish between a "spine", a sharp curicular projection found on the merus of pereopod 1 and maxilliped 3 of some of the species described in this paper, and a "spiniform sera". A spiniform seta is a thick seta homologous to other fine articulating tapering crustacean setae. Serae vary throughour the thalassinideans and grade from very fine and rapering to structures about as broad as long, The term "spiniform setae" is used only for thick setac on the pereopods and uropods. Their distribution is raxonomically significant.
Description of the orientation of the limbs has not been consistent in the past. I follow de Man (1925) and use "upper" to describe the extensor (or anatomically anterior) margin and "lower" for the flexor (or posterior) margin. These terms reflect the position of the limbs in life rather than their attitude in the ventrally directed position. "Mesial" and "lateral" are derived as a consequence of this and in most cases truly describe the position of the faces of the limb relative to the body of the animal.
Lengrhs of specimens are given as carapace lengith ( cl .) and total length ( t.$)$.

Material for this study has been accumulated over several years from museum collections and French expeditions. Most is from the Indo-West Pacific region but some from the Caribbean Sea
is included where it adds information about variation within genera.
It has been deposited in the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (MNHN); Zoological Museum, Amsterdam (7.MA): Zoological Muscum, Leiden (ZML); Zoological Museum, Berlin (ZMB): Museum of Victoria, Melhourne (NMV): Australian Museum, Sydney (AM); National Museum of Narural History, Washington (USNM); Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard (MCZ); American Museum of Natural History, New York (AMNH): Los Angeles County Museum, Los Angeles (LACM) incorporating collections of she Allan Hancock Foundation (AHF); Museu de Zoología, Universidade de São Paulo (M7.USP), and South African Museum, Cape Town (SAM).

Family Callianideidae Kossmann, 1880
Callianideidac Kussmann, 1880: 80. - Gurney 1938: 343. - de Saint Laurenz 1979: 1395. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 497, 498. - Sakai 1992: 9, 10. - Poore 1994: 103.
Callianidetinae de Man, 1928: 30 (synonym and homonym). - de Saint Laurent 1973: 515. - Sakai 1992: 10, 11.
Callianideinae Melin, 1939: 4 (synonym and homonym).

Type genus. - Callianidea Milne Edwards, 1837.

## Diagnosis

Soft-bodied burrowing thalassinidean shrimps. Rostrum very short and broad. Linea thalassinica very short, lateral to eyestalk, or absent. Cephalothorax laterally compressed, ending posteriorly as an obsolete median convexity, not separate from posterolateral margins of catapace: no thickening of posterolateral carapace margins. Without anterolateral lobes on abdominal somite I but mid-dotsal tegion articulating with midposterior margin of carapace. Thoracomere 7 sternite visible between coxae only as a nartow ridge; coxa of pereopod 4 flattened, immobile and without condyle on sternite 7. Abdominal somite 1 two-thirds length of somite 2 and with pleuron triangular but not produced. Abdominal somite 2 pleuron not overlapping pleuron 1 .

Cephalothorax, rostrum, pleon, telson and all limbs without armature. Anterior cephalothorax and abdominal somites 1 and 6 with weak lateral setal-rows; lateral surfaces of propodi of pereopods 2-4 with similar setal-rows. Antenna 1 peduncle arricles subequal. Antenna 2 with scaphocerite minute, atticulating. Mandibular incisor toothed posteriorly only, symmetrical. Maxilla 2 scaphognathite with one long sera extending into branchial chamber. Maxilliped 3 pediform, carpus longer than propodus, merus without distal spine, propodus never flattened. Percopods 1 unequal, larger cheliped merus ovate, with convex lower margin, propodus proximal part longer than wide, fingers complexly toothed, much shorter than proximal part of propodus. Pereopod 2 shelate, pereopods 2-4 with flatrened propodi (of 3 as long as wide). Pereopods 3 and 4 propodi bearing single distal spiniform seta ou lower margin. Epipods on thoracomeres 2-7; podobranchs rudimentary or absent; two archrobranchs on each of thoracomeres 3-7. pleurobranchs absent. Male pleopod 1 without appendix interna. Male pleopod. 2 withour appendix masculina. Pleopod 2 not modified, similat to pleopods 3-5; all with foliaceous rami fringed with simple or bifurcating filaments; rudimentary appendix interna. Uropodal exopod withour suture, endopod ovate.

## Compostrion

Callianidea Milne Edwards, 1837.

## Remarks

The family is only confined in this paper to only the type genus, all others included by Kensley \& Heard (1991) and Sakai (1992) removed to the other two familier treated herein or, in the case of Paracallianidea, treated as a synonym. Its members are similar in general habitus (elongate shape, flattened eyestalks) to members of the Callianassidae but are best distinguished by the presence of simple or bifurcating marginal filaments on pleopods $2-5$ (not to be confused with the lamellae on some species of the micheleid Michelea). There is a shott, anteriorly situated linea thalassinica in only one species.
Sakai (1992) recognised four callianideid subfarnilies: Callianideinae (used in the sense of the family
here); Micheleinae and Thomassiniinae (reated as separate families here); and Meticonaxiinae (treated as a junior synonym of Micheleinae here). His taxonomic arrangement assumes the monophyly of the Callianideidae s. $\%$, and he presented a tree illustrating the relationships of the subfamilies, almost identical to that of Kensley \& Heard (1991). The three families are not sister taxa and are independantly derived from different clades of the Thalassinidea (Poore 1794).

## Genus Callianidea Milne Edwards, 1837

Isea Guérin-Méneville, 1832: 295 (type species by monotypy Isea elongata Guérin-Méneville, 1832) (name suppressed by ICZN 1989: 61).
Callianidea Milne Edwards, 1837: 319. - Boas 1880: 108, 110. - Batc 1888: 10. - Rathbun 1401: 94. Borradaile 1903: 548. - Curney 1938: 301, 342. Melin 1939: 4. - Balss 1957; 1582. - de Saint Laurent 1973: 515. - Le Lovelff \& Intes 1974: 23. Sakai \& Holthuis 1987: 93. - ICZN 1989: 61. Kensley \& Heard 1991: 498.
Callianised Milne Edwards, 1837: 321 (replacement name for Isea Guérin).
Callised Danal, 1852a: 11: 1852b: 510 (replacement name for Isea Guérin).
Calliactites Borradaile, 1903: 545 (rype species by origioal designation Callianassa sctura Lanchester, 1902).
Paratallithideta Sakai, 1992: 17 (type species by original designation and monorypy Callianitea laevicaudat Gill, 1859).

Typle species. - By monotypy: Callianidea typa Milne Edwards, 1837 (confirmed by ICZN 1989: 61, 62).

## Diagnosis

With the characters of the family.

## Other distinguimhing hatures

Abdominal somite 1 with separate anterior median boss articulating with posterior margin of carapace. Smaller pereopod 1 narrow.

## Composition

C. lacvicauda Gill, 1859; C. typa Milne Edwards, 1837. Other available names are currently considered junior synonyms of C. typa: C. mucronata Kossman, 1880; C. planocula Melin, 1939; C. secura (Lanchester, 1901).

## REMARRS

Generally, only rwo species, C. typa, widespread throughour the Indo-West Pacific, and C. laevicauta, confined to the Caribbean region and Galapagos Islands, have been recognised and the other specific names are considered as junior synonyms of $C$. typa. This last species is very variable and, in the absence of an examination of type specimens of other nominal species and a thorough review of material from a wide geographic range, the status of the available names is uncertain. A single individual of what could probably be another species is briefly diagnosed here as Callionideas. sp .
Sakai (1992) erected a separate genus, Paracalliamidca, for $C$ lacvianuda. The general habitus of this species is very similar ro that of the other species and the differences of the pleopods 1 and 2, the male appendix interna on pleopod 2, and the pleopodal filaments are not adequate to justify a second genus for a single species.

Callianidea typa Milne Edwards, 1837 (Figs 1-3, 4A, B)

Callianidea sypa Milse Edwards, 1837: 320, pl, 20 figs 8-14. - Borradaile 1898: 1015- Dc Man 1902: 751; 1928: 21, 31. - Borradaile 1904: 752. - Nobili 1906: 113. - Pesta 1913: 678. - Balss 1914:90. Edmondson 1944: 38. - Miyake 1956; 90. - Sakai \& Holthuts 1987: 93. - ICZN 1989: 61 (decision to place typa on the Official list of Specific Names in Zoology with the endorsement that it would be given! precedence over elangatu Guérin-Méneville). - Sakai 1992: 12-17, figs 3-5. - Dworschak 1992: 218, fig. 17. - Poupin 1994: 7. fig. 3, colour pl. 1b.

Type material.. - New Ireland. Eastern Papua New Guinea, coll. Quoy and Gaimard, holotype, MNHN Th-495 ( $9, \mathrm{cl} .14 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{tl} .55 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Other materlal. - Indian Occan. Djibouri, MNHN Th-1303. $6, \mathrm{cl} .11 .8 \mathrm{~mm}$, tl. 42 mm (figured specimen); 1891, MNHN Th-498 ( 9 and 6 ). Obock, Dr. Jousseaume, 1897, MNHN Th-137 (1 specimen); 11.1II.1933. MNHN Th-74! (7 specimens from t. 23 mm ). - Iles Mutha, al linterrieur des cavités des polypiers, Misfsion」 Ch. Gravier, 24.1.1904. MNHN Th-138 (2 specimens). - Hes Muscha (probably Muskah Island, Red Sea, Saudi Arabia), 22.1II.1933, MNHN Th-742 ( 1 specimen).

- Nosy Bé, Madagascar, sand-scone, intertidal, A. Crosnier, MNHN Th-186 (1 specimen): MNHN Th-187 (4 specimens). - Ile Juan de Nova, W Madagascar, MNHN Th-444 (1 specimen). Pointe lokobe, under rock, A. G. Humes, 3.VIII.1960, MNHN Th-188 (1 specimen). Tanzania (Zanzibar), February 1971, MNHN Th-695 (ㅇ, tl. 50 mm ). - Moroni, Grand Comoro Island, R. V. Anton Bruun cruise 9, MNHN Th-696
(1 specimen). - Aldabra, MNHN Th-442 (1 specimen).
Philippines, J'adada Beach, Gulf of Davao, 14-19.II. 1936, G. R. Desch, AMNH 46705 ( 9, t. 19 mm ). - Philippines, 14.XI.1937, AMNH 9381 ( 3 specimens); 7.XI.1937. AMNH 9382 ( 1 cheliped): 5.IV.1936, AMNH 9384 ( 1 specimen).
French Polynesia. Tuamotu, Taiaro, J. Poupin, February 1994, MNHN ( 2 ó ó).


Fig. 1. - Callianidea typa Milne Edwards. A, habitus; B, cephalothorax, abdominal somites 1 and 2: C, ठ ventral view of abdominal somite 1 with pleopods $\mathbf{1 ;} \mathbf{D}$, \% pleopod $1 ; \mathbf{E}$, ? pleopod 1 ; $\mathbf{F}$, pleopod 2 ; $\mathbf{G}$, appendix interna; $\mathbf{H}$, if appendix interna; $\mathbf{I}$, abdominal somite 6, telson and uropod; $\mathbf{J}$, spiniform setae on margin of exopod; $K$, epipod of pereopod 1; $\mathbf{L}$, antenna 2 with scaphocerite. Figures E, H, from MNHN Th-498; others from MNHN Th-1303.

Distribution. - Indo-West Pacific: Japan, Taiwan, Philippines, Mariana Islands, Wake Island, Tahiti, Tuamotu, Samoa, Papua New Guinea, Indonesia,

Maldive Islands, Comoro Islands, Gulf of Aden, Red Sea, Aldabra, Madagascar, Tanzania; most records intertidal or from shallow water.


Fig. 2. - Callianidea typa Milne Edwards. A, left larger cheliped; B, right smaller cheliped and C, details of fingers; D, right pereopod 2 and $\mathbf{E}$, details of cutting edges; $\mathbf{F}$, right pereopod $3 ; \mathbf{G}$, left pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{H}$, dactylus of right pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{I}$, coxa and sternum of pereopod 4; J, right pereopod 5. All figures from MNHN Th-1303.

## DESCRIPTION

Cephalothorax 0.22 total length, about as deep as wide; rostrum flat, very short and broad, less than third as long as eyestalks; cervical groove weakly defined, reaching 0.7 length of cephalothorax; dorsoposterior margin produced to rounded lobe, continuous with posterolateral margins which are setose; submarginal vertical setal-row of seven setae at base of antenmae.
Abdominal somite 1 little narrower than greatest width of second, with mid-dorsal boss, without anterolateral lobes; pleuron weakly rounded; dorsolateral seral-rows of nine setae. Abdominal somite 2 as long as first, pleuron not overlapping first somite; without transverse setal-row. Abdominal sonite 6 with tansverse setal-row of about six setae each side. All abdominal somites with weak groups of long setae dorsally.
Eyestalks flattencd, anterolateral corners rectangular; cornea distolateral.
Antenna 1 with short article 1, just longer than eyestalks; artịcle 2 shorter than 3 ; flagella of about thirty and forty articles, longer than peduncle. Antenna 2 with small atticulating article, about third length of article 2; article 4 reaching well beyond article 1 of antenna 1 ; article 5 short; flagellum almost twice as long as peduncle.
Mandible incisor process with unevenly toothed cutting edge. Maxilla 2 endopod tapering: scaphognathite with one long posteriorly-directed seta. Maxilliped 1 with endopod 0.8 length of basal endite, exopod longer than endite, diṣtal epipod lobe tapering, proximal lobe of similar length, apically rounded. Maxilliped 2 exopod as long as merus; epipod well-developed. Maxilliped 3 ischium with crista denrata of about twenty-six blunt teeth; merus without mesial tooth; ischium-merus with dense mesial rows of long setac; carpus-dactylus longer than ischium-merus, widest point of earpus 0.4 carpal length; exopod with flagellum reaching to middle of ischiom; epipod narrow.
Chelipeds unequal. I.arger cheliped basisischium unarmed; merus with convex lower and upper margins; carpus with transverse row of blunt teeth mesiodistally; propodus tapering. with obsolete reerh on lower margin; fised finger 0.3 length of propodus, its cutting edge irregu-
larly toothed on prosimal half, with submarginal row of denticles on mesial face; dactylus cutring edge irregularly toothed, curved distally, equal to fixed finger. Smaller cheliped ischium and carpus unarmed, each arricle narrow, carpus much longer than in larger cheliped; propodus elongate; fixed finger 0.3 total lengrh, with two distal teeth; dacrylus longer than fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 merus io propodus with lower marginal rows of long setie; carpus 0.6 length of merus; propodus as long as carpus, with setal-row of nine shorr setae; fixed finger cutting edge with eighteen evenly-spaced spiniform setac, one apical; dactylus slightly longer than fixed finger, with fifreen spiniform setae on distal half of cutting edge, one apical.
Pereopod 3 propodus about three-quarters as long as wide, with spiniform seta on distal corner of lower margin, with a transverse setal-row of nine setae; dactylus subchclate.
Pereopod 4 coxa disc-like, gliding under sternite 7, attached to broad sternite only by dorsomedial muscles, without condyles; propodus 3 times as long as wide, one spiniform scta on distal corner of lower inargin; with a proximal transverse setal-row of seven setae.
Pereopod 5 weakly chelate, dacrylus rwice as long as fixed finger.
Pleopod I of male: first article with dense long mesiodistal setae, and small distolateral digitiform second article without hooks. Pleopod 1 of female 2 -articled, geniculate. Ploopod 2 rami with numerous marginal bifureating filaments; appendix interna a mimute triangular angle in female, a simple minute process in male; appendix masculina lacking. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2.
Uropodal endopod ovare, twice as long as wide; exopod ovate, inner margin straighter, twice as long as wide, with marginal short spiniform serae distally. Telson just longer than wide, proximally parallel-sided, distally rounded.

Branchial formula:

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epipod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pleurobranch | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## Remarks

Callianidea typa is the better known of the two callianideids. Although some characters varied between populations, e.g. proportions of some
limbs, dentition, none was consistent enough to warrant recognition of separate species. This was especially the case for an individual from Tanzania which is notable for the more elongate


FIG. 3. - Callianidea typa Milne Edwards. A, antenna 1 and B, details of tip of flagellum; C, D, right and left mandibular incisors; E, maxilla $1 ; F$, maxilla $2 ; G$, maxilliped $1 ; H$, maxilliped 2 ; $I$, maxilliped 3 . All figures from MNHN Th-1303.
chelipedal fingers and the narrower telson and uropods (Fig. 4A). A new species cannot be justified for it on the basis of one individual. Markham (1995) deseribed the new bopyrid isopod parasite lone taiumnensis from C. typa in Taiwan.

Callianidea laevicauda Gill, 1859
(Fig. 4C)
Callianidea latuicauda Gill, 1859: 167. - Rathbun 1901: 94. - De Man 1928: 21. - Schmitr 1924: 79; 1935: 193, fig. 54; 1936: 375, - Rodrigues 1983: 93. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 496, 499, 500, figs 3, 4. Dworschak 1992: 218. fig. 16. - Lemaitre \& Ramos 1992: 352.
Callianidea typa. - Lockington 1878: 302.
Callianidea Strewtrupii Boas, 1880: 108.
Callianidea laevicauda accidentalis Schmits, 1939: 10, 11.

Paracallianidea laevicauda. - Sakai 1992; 17, 18.
Material examined. - Galapagos Islands. 27.1X. 1968 , USNM 243554 (ㅇ). - Barrington Island, bay head and rocks to N side, intertidal, (R. V. Velero III, sti 48-33), 28.II.1933, USNM 123368 (3 specimens).
British Virgin Islands. Norman Island, Treasure Point, shore (Smithsonian Bredin Expedition, $\operatorname{stn}$ 35-38), USNM 122445 ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ).

Distribution. - Caribbean Sea and Galapagos Islands, intertidal.

Rfmarks
This species has often been figured and described, most recently by Kensley \& Heard (1991). Although it is very similar to C. typa, it differs in the following details which indicate a more plesiomorphic species. A short linea thalassinica is present at the base of the eyestalks, similar in position to that in the thomassiniids. The eyestalks are mose cylindrical than in C. typa. The pleopodal filaments are cylindrical with a constriction near the midpoint, not bifurcating. The male ploopod 1 has a weakly setose first article and large suburiangular iwisted second article. The appendix interna and appendix masculina are well developed, not minute, and the merus of maxilliped 3 has a mesial roorh.
Sakai (1992) recognised some of these differences
and considered them of generic importance, a stance with which I cannot agree.

## Callianidea sp. <br> (Figs 4D-I)

Material examined. - Madagascar. Maromandia ( $14^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ ' $-48^{\circ} 06^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), R. Decary, MNHN Th-139 (오, cl. 5.3 mm ).

Distribution. - Madagascar (unique specimen).

## Diagnosis

Maxilliped 3 merus with mesial spine. Larger cheliped fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus. Pereopod 3 propodus 1.5 times as long as wide. Pleopodal $3-5$ rami with few (about thirty) simple cylindrical marginal filaments; appendix interna minute. Uropodal rami 1.5 times as long as wide. Telson wider than long, tapering from base.

## Remarks

The only specimen of this species is mostly complete buc fragmented. It is diagnosed only briefly and in all other respects it is extremely similar to Callianidea typa. The specimen was compared with similarly-sized specimens of C. typm, also from Madagascar and the differences, notably the phlcopodal structure and telson, were confirmed as not being juvenile characters. The specimen resembles $C$. latovauda in that the pleopodal filaments are simple, but differs in that they lack any constrictions. The specimen suggests strongly a separate species but should not be described until more material is available and the validity of the other available names resolved.

## Family Michelfidae Sakai, 1992

Micheleinae Sakaí, 1992: 18.
Mericonaxiinae Sakai, I992: 19.
Micheleidae. - Poore 1994: 99.
Type genus. - Michelea Kensley et Heard, 1991.

## Diagnosis

Soft-bodied or firm thalassinidean shrimps. Rostrum present with lateral carinac (rarely


Fig. 4. - Callianidea typa Milne Edwards. A, telson and uropod; B, left larger cheliped. Both figures from MNHN Th-695. Callianidea laevicauda Gill, 1859. C, đ̀ pleopod 1, USNM 122445. Callianidea sp. D, maxilliped 3; E, left larger cheliped; F, pereopod 3 (distal articles); G, pleopod 2; H, appendix interna; I, telson and uropod. All figures from MNHN Th-139.
absent). No linea thalassinica. Cephalothorax laterally compressed, ending midposteriorly as a median lobe separate from produced posterolateral margins of carapace. Anterolateral lobes on abdominal somite 1 arriculate with posterolateral margins of carapace which are thickened fo form a marginal ridge. Thoracic sternite 7 visible between coxac of pereopods 4 only as a narrow ridge. Coxae 4 flattened, mobile, condyle with thoracic sternite 7 functional. Abdominal somite 1 less than half length of abdominal somite 2 and with pleuron obscure. Abdominal somite 2 pleuron overlaps abdominal somite 1 .

Cephalothorax, rostrum, abdomen, telson and all limbs without armature (obsolete rostral dentition and low medial and lateral rostral carinae may be present). Anterior cephalothorax and at least abdominal somites 1 and 6 (usually all) with lateral setal-rows; lateral surfaces of propodi of pereopods 2-4 with similar setal-rows. Antenna 1 peduncle article 1 clongate and waisted, article 3 longer than article 2 . Antenna 2 with scaphocerite articulating and prominent, rarely small. Mandibular incisor roothed only posteriorly, asymmetrical. Maxilla 2 scaphognathite with one ar two long setae extending into branchial chamber: Maxilliped 3 pediform, carpus longer than propodus, propodus never flattened. Pereopods 1 cqual; merus with straight lower margin, sometimes with few spines; proximal part of propodus elongate (about avice as long as wide); fingers as long as proximal part. Pereopod 2 chelate. Pereopods 2-4 with flattened propodi, longer than wide, without single marginal spiniform seta (rows of spiniform setac laterally in Michelea). Epipods hroadly lamellate; podobranchs 3-6 usually present; two arthrobranchs on each of thoracomeres 3-7: pleurobranchs 5-7 present or absent. Male pleopod 1 with triangular second article, appendix interna only represented by hooks. Appendix masculina free. Pleopod 2 not modified, similar to pleopods 3-5; all with foliaccous rami, well developed appendix interna. Uropodal exopod without transverse suture; endopod more or less triangular.

## Composition

Marcusiaxius Rodrigues et de Carvalho, 1972; Meticonaxius de Man, 1905; Michelea Kensley et Heard, 1991; Tethisea Poore, 1994.

## Remarks

Sakai (1992) erected the callianideid subfamily Micheleinac for Michelea which is without a linca thalassinica, with anterolateral lobes on abdominal somite 1 , with a long scaphocerite, subequal first percopods, with a small rostrum; and with normal propodus on pereopod 4. The subfamily is separated from his other subfamily, Meticonaxiinae, on only two characters (absence of a rostrum and presence of pleopodal lamellae), but there are so many synapomorphies linking the genera that this division cannot be sustained. Further, the cladistic analysis (Poore 1994) hypothesised that Michelen is a rerminal taxon and not sister to other genera in the family. The two are considered synonymous lere. Micheleinae has page precedence.
None of the genera possesses pleopodal filaments as seen in Callianidea; the foliaceous rami in Michelet are quite different from those in callianideids and are not homologous (Poore 1994). There are several other differences between the micheckeds, callianideids and thomassinids. The possession of anterolateral lobes on abdominal sonite 1 , which interact with the tripatute posterior margin of the carapace, is a more complex mechanism than the more loose jnteraction in callianideids and thomassiniids. A rostrum is; usual in the family: its loss in Micbelea is derived independently from similar states in some callianassids, for example. The cyestalks are cylindrical, never flattened. The chelipeds are equal (unequal in callianideids and thomassiniids) and are more elongate than in the other two familics. Pereopods 3 and 4 never have a single distal spiniform sela on the lower margin of the propor dus. The affinities between the Michelcidae and the Axiidae, noted by Sakai, are much greater than those with the Callianideidae and all warrant separate family status (Poore 1994).
New species, mostly from the Indo-West Pacific, are described in all four genera.

## Key to genera of Micheleidae

1. Rostrum minute, triangular; usually without pleurobranchs; pereopods 3 and 4
with lateral spiniform setae .................................................................... Michelea

- Rostrum prominent, flat; with pleurobranchs; pereopods 3 and 4 without lateral spiniform setae

2
2. Cheliped with thickened setae in gape; abdominal somites $3-5$ without setal-rows; uropodal endopod with anterior margin convex, ending by curving to rounded posterior margin

Tethisea

- Cheliped without thickened setae in gape; abdominal somites 3-5 with setal-rows; uropodal endopod with straight anterior margin ending sharply 3

3. Eyes visible in dorsal view, rostrum narrow; cheliped fixed finger with major tooth two-thirds way along; maxilliped 2 exopod reduced ........................... Meticonaxius

- Eyes not visible in dorsal view, rostrum wide; cheliped fixed finger with major tooth one-third way along; maxilliped 2 exopod long $\qquad$ Marcusiaxius


## Genus Marcusiaxius

Rodrigues et de Carvalho, 1972
Marcusiaxius Rodrigues et de Carvalho, 1972: 357. De Carvalho \& Rodrigues 1973: 553-566 (rediagnosed as new). - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 506, 507, table 2. - Sakai 1992: 25, 26.

Tipe species. - By monorypy: Marcusiaxius lemoscastroi Rodrigues at de Carvalho, 1972.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum flat and exceeding eyes, medially and laterally carinate and setose. Eyes not visible in dorsal view. Anterolateral cephalothorax with two or three verrical setal-rows, the second shorter than others if present. Abdominal somite 1 with two setal-rows, abdominal somites $2-5$ each with one lateral seral-row, and abdominal somite 6 with three converging seral-rows; abdominal somites 3-5 with dense dorsal patches of plumose setae. Antenna 1 peduncle article 1 moderately elongate. Scaphocerite less than half length of amtenna 2 peduncle article 4. Maxilliped 1 exopod a single article. Maxilliped 3 withour crista dentata; merus with weak mesial row of setat; exopod absent or at most half as long as merus. Pereopod 1 fixed finger
with sharp curved tooth one-third way along. Pereopod 2 fixed finger with even contiguous spiniform setae: dactylus as long as fixed finger. Pereopods 3 and 4 without lateral spiniform setae on propodus and dactylus. Pereopod 4 carpus usually with distal ridge on upper margin; propodus with seral-row along upper margin, Pleopods 2.5 withont marginal lamellac. Uropodal endopod with anterolateral margin straight, ending sharply, longer than broad. Uropodal exopod anterolateral margin ending sharply, broader than endopod. Telson broader than long, not clearly consericted, distally rounded. Epipods with lamellate podobranchs well developed. except on last. Arthrobranchs well developed. Pleuro-branchs 5-7 present.

## Branchial formula:

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Pleurobranch | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |

## Comirosition

M. colpos Kensley et Heard, 1991; M. lemoscastroi Rodrigues et Carvalho, 1972; M. minutus
(Coelho, 1973); M. torbeni Sakai, 1992; M. wamsoin.sp.

## Remarks

Marcusiaxius is most similar to Meticonaxius but differs in having the rostrum completely covering the eyes and bearing a dense lateral pile of plumose setae, different placement of the major tooth on the fixed finger of percopod l, absence of crista dentata on maxilliped 3, possession of two setal-rows on abdominal somite 2, and in
the shorter telson. These two genera rogether differ from Terbised in lacking thickened setae in the gape at the base of the fixed finger of pereopod 1 and in a sharper apex to the uropodal endopod. All three differ from Michelea in possession of a rostrum but see this genus for more differences and Poore (1994) for analysis of phylogenetic relationships.
'The diagnosis of Kensley \& Heard (1991) is not significantly different from this one.

## Key to species of Marcusiaxius

1. Telson three-quarters as long as abdominal somite 6

Marcusiaxius minutus (Brazil)

- Telson half as long as abdominal somite 6 2

2. Maxilliped 3 exopod as long or longer than ischium; maxilliped 2 exopod longer than merus ........................................................................................................... 3

- Maxilliped 3 exopod shorter than ischium or absent; maxilliped 2 exopod shorter than merus 4

3. Rustrum sharply tapering to rounded apex; pereopod 4 without crest on upper margin of carpus Marcusiaxius colpos (Gulf of Mexico)

- Rostrum evenly tapering to acute apex; pereopod 4 with crest on upper margin of carpus $\qquad$ Marcusiaxils wamsol (Indonesia)

4. Maxilliped 3 exopod absent; uropodal endopod 3 times as long as wide $\qquad$ . Marcusiaxius lemoscastroi (Caribbean, N Brazil)

- Maxilliped 3 exopod present; uropodal endopod twice as long as wide $\qquad$
Marcusiaxius torbeni (Indonesia)

Marcusiaxius colpos Kensley et Heard, 1991
Marcusiaxius colpos Kensley et Heard, 1991: 496, 506, 507 , figs $1,7,8$.

Distribution. - Gulf of Mexico, 43-175 m depth.

## Remarks

This species is known only from the original material and is typical of the genus. Its rostrum is relatively broader than in other species and there are only two cephalothorax setal-rows.

Marcusiaxius lemoscastroi
Rodrigues et de Carvalho, 1972
(Fig. 5)
Marcusiaxius lemascastrai Rodrigues et de Carvalho, 1972: 357.. - De Carvalho \& Rodrigues 1973: 553-566. figs 1-21 (redescribed as new). - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 496, 507-510, Figo 9, 10.
Meticonaxitus lemosastroi. - Coelho et al. 1980: 39. Coelho \& Ramos-Porte 1987: 33. - Coetho 1987: 63, 68.

Material examined. - Caribbean Sea. Honduras,
off Limón ( $16^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-85^{\circ} 38^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), $55-57 \mathrm{~m}$ (R. V. Pillsbury stn P1369), USNM 243550 ( 0 and 9). Panama, Scout Island Beach, Culebra Island, shallow water, M. Jones and H. Kaufman, 10.111.1974, (NMNH-STRI Panama survey sti 183-1/P), USNM 243549 ( 3 specimens). - Colombia, near Santa Marra ( $11^{\circ}\left(16^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-74^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right.$ ) , 64-73 m, 17.V.1964. Gulf and Sourla Athatic Fishacrics Exploration, Bureau of Commercial Fisherics (R. V. Oregon stn 4847), USNM 243548 ( 1 ) ). - Vcuezncla, $N$ of Margarita Island. 32-40 m, sand and shell botrom. Hancock Pacific Expeditions (R. V. Velero, stn A-42-3), USNM 243555 (1 号).
Brazil. Almirante Saldanha, stn 1705, MZUSP-8942 ( 1 specimen).
Location uncertain. Stn NMHN 248-34, February 1922, Schmitt, USNM 243551 ( 1 ó).

Distribution. - Caribbean Sea, northern Brazil; littoral to 73 m depth .

## Remarks

This species was figured by the original authors and redescribed by Kensley \& Heard (1991). A habitus figure, view of the thoracic sternites and coxae, and male pleopod 2 are presented here because it is type species of the genus. The coxa of pereopod 4 and its interaction with thoracic sternite 7 are relarively undifferentiated and illustrate the difference between this family and Callianideidae and Thomassiniidae.
Brasil Lima (1980) described a new genus and


Fig. 5. - Marcusiaxius lemoscastroi Rodrigues et de Carvalho. A. of habitus; B, sternum and coxae of pereopods 1-5 of $\%$; C, pleopod 2. Both specimens from USNM 243550.
species of bopyrid isopod, Castrione longicaudata, parasitic on the gills of this species.

Matcusiaxius minutus (Coctho, 1973) comb. nov.

Meticonaxius minutus Coelho, 1973: 345; 1987: 63, 68. - Coelho et al. 1980: 58. - Coelho \& RamosPorto 1987: 32, 33. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 516.

Materlal. examined. - Brazil. Amapá ( $04^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $\left.50^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right), 89-90 \mathrm{~m}$ (Almirante Saldmbia, $\operatorname{sm} 2413$ ), MZUSP-holorype, not registered (dry).

Distribution. - Northern Brazil; 90 m depth.
REMARKS
Examination of the holotype confirmed the new generic placement of this species.

Marcusiaxius torbeni Sakai, 1992
(Fig. 6)
Marcusiaxius torbeni Sakai 1992: 26-30, figs 9-11.
Material examined. - Indonesia. Makassar Strait ( $0^{\circ} 40.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-117^{\circ} 51.4^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 96 m , 1.XI. 1980 , dredge


Fig. 6. - Marcusiaxius torbeni Sakai, 1992 . A, B, anterior cephalothorax; C, telson and uropod; D, maxilliped 2; E, maxilliped 3; F, right pereopod $2 ; \mathbf{G}$, right pereopod 3; H , right pereopod 4. All figures from MNHN Th- 850 .
(CORINDON $2 \operatorname{stn} 216$ ), MNHN Th-850 ( ${ }^{\circ}$ without pereopods i, $\mathrm{cl} .12 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{tl} .43 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Distribution. - West coast of Malay Peninsula, 70 m (type locality); Makassir Strait, Indonesia, 96 m depth.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum acutely rounded and with dense patch of marginal setae apically and along lateral margins, 1.7 times as long as eyestalks. Cephalothorax with setal-rows of eight setae near anterior margin, of four sctae more posterior, and of nineteen near cervical groove. Antenna 2 with distinct acute articulating scaphocerite, about one-third length of article 4. Maxilliped 2 exopod reaching to middle of merus of endopod. Maxilliped 3 with small or without exopod.
Pereopod 3 propodus with two transverse setal-rows of about fifteen and thirty setae. Pereopod 4 catpus with smoothly eurved upper margin; propodus 1.5 times as long as wide; with single setal-row of about twenty setae along upper margin.
Uropodal endopod anterior margin slightly bent, lateral apex acute, 2.3 times as long as wide; exopod with slightly concave anterior margin ending sharply, deeply curved posteriorly, 1.5 times as long as wide. Telson length 0.8 of width, laterally and distally convex.

## Remarks

Marcusiaxius torbeni is figured only in sufficient detail to separate it from the other species of the Indo-West Pacific, M. wamsoi. The holotype was figured in detail by Sakai (1992). He noted a small exopod on maxilliped 3 , not present on the new specimen but this difference would not seem to warrant a separate species. The species differs from $M$. wamsoi in shorter exopod on maxilliped 2 , reducrion of exopod on maxilliped 3, the greater extent of marginal setation on the rostruns, longer telson, and shorter uropodal rami. Pereopod 3 has two oblique setal-rows as in M. Lemascastroi, not one row as in M. wamsoi. 'The species is also similar to M. lemoscastroi in the arrangement of maxillipedal exopods and seration of the rostrum bur differs in longer rostrum and thind setal-row on the cephalothorax.

Marcusiaxius wamsoin.sp. (Figs 7, 8)

Marcusiaxius wamsoi. - Markham, 1995: 86 (nomen nudum).

Material examined. - Indonesia, Irian Jaya (as Dutch New Guinea), 1 mile E of Dauwi, Wamsoi lagoon ( $1^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-136^{\circ} 46^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), Padaido 1slands, $54-90 \mathrm{~m}, 4.11 .1956, Z \mathrm{ML}$ (holutype, ㅇ, cl. 6.4 mm ).

Etymology - For the type locality (noun in apposition).

Distribution. - Irian Jaya, Indonesia; $54-90 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

## Description of holotype

Cephalothorax 0.28 total lengh, rostrum flar, obscuring eyes from dorsal view, acutely rounded and with dense parch of marginal setac apically; twice as long as eyestalks; dorsolateral carina prominent and reaching about one-third of cephalothorax, a weak groove parallel to catina; cervical groove weakly defined, not visible dorsally; dorsoposterior margin a square medial lobe, separated from posterolateral margins; marginal setal-row of eight setae ar base of eyestalk; second setal-row of six setae: and posterior secal-row of eleven setac. Abdominal somite I litrle narrower than second, with anterolateral lobes overlying posterolateral margins of cephalorhordx; pleuron weakly rounded; dorsolareral setal-rows of seven serae. Abdominal somite 2 twice as long as firsi, pleuron overlapping first somite; longitudinal setal-row of eleven setae; transverse setal-row of seven setae. Abdominal somites $3-5$ with long transverse setal-rows. Abdominal somite 6 with marginal setal-row of twenty-three setae diverging anteriorly lrom edge of pleuron, oblique setal-row of about lourteen setae, and transverse setal-row of about eleven setac.
Eyestalks cylindrical, cornea distolateral.
Antenna I with waisted article 1 , abour 0.3 length of cephalothorax: articles 2 and 3 subequal, each about half length of arricle 1; flagella each of about fifteen articles, longer than peduncle. Antenna 2 with distinct articulating acicle, about third lengh of article 2 ; article 4 reaching to end of antenna 1 ; article 5 short.
Mandible, maxillae and maxilliped 1 typical of
family. Maxilliped 2 endopodal propodus broader than carpus and dactylus; exopod narrow, reaching beyond merus of endopod. Maxilliped 3 ischium without crista dentata; merus without mestial toorh; ischium-merus with mesial row of long setac; carpus-dactylus longer than ischium-merus, widest point of carpus 0.24 carpal length; cxopod with flagellum reaching to middle of merus; epipod narrow-foliaceous, with podobranch.
Chelipeds equal; merus with weak tooth on lower margin, upper margin strongly convex, especially proximally; carpus unarmed; propodus
swollen proximally and tapering: fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus, its cutting edge with strong tooth at proximal third; dactylus cutting edge curved distally, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 mecus-propodus with lower marginal rows of long setac; carpus 0.8 length of merus; propodus little shorter than carpus with sctal-row of six short setae; fixed finger cutting edge with thirteen well-spaced spiniform setac; dacrylus as long as fixed finger, with five spiniform setae in middle of cutting edge.
Pereopod 3 propodus 1.5 times as long as wide, strongly lobed on upper and lower margins; one


Fig. 7. - Marcusiaxius wamsoi n.sp. A, habitus; B, dorsal view of anterior cephalothorax; C, abdominal somite 6 , telson and base of right uropod; $\mathbf{D}$, abdominal somite 6, telson and uropod; E, pleopod 2. All figures from holotype.
transverse setal-row of about thirty setae; dactylus setose.
Pereopod 4 carpus with distal lobe on upper
margin; propodus little narrower than long; with single setal-row of about forty setae along upper margin; dactylus sctose, narrow.


Fig. 8. - Marcusiaxius wamsoi n.sp. A, maxilliped 2; B, maxilliped 3; C, larger left cheliped; D, pereopod 2; E, detail of propodus and dactylus; F, pereopod 3 ; G, pereopod 4 and $H$, setal-row on upper margin of propodus. All figures from holotype.

Pleopod I of male unknown. Pleopod 1 of female nearly midventral, 2 -articled. Pleopod 2 endopod rounded distally and along lateral margin; appendix interna about 8 times as long as wide; exopod litile longer than wide, inner margin straight, lateral margin convex. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2 .
Uropodal endopod rear-shaped, lateral apex acute, 2.3 times as long as wide; exopod with straight anterior margin ending sharply, deeply curved posteriorly, 1.5 times as long as wide. Telson length two-thirds width, laterally and distally convex.

## Remarks

Marcusiaxius uamsoi is distinguished by the relatively small patch of sectae on the tip of the rostrum, the long maxillipedal exopods, and the unusual crest on the upper margin of the carpus of pereopod 4. The urepodal endopod is especially narrow and acure.
Markham (1995) described the new bopyrid isopod parasite Castrione digiticaudata from the holotype of this species citing its mannuscript name in the "Matcrial examined".

## Marcusiaxius sp.

Materal examined. - England. Gault, Folkestone, BMNH ln. 61812 (fossil partly in matrix, rostrumcervical groove 9 mm ).

## Remarks

The anterior cephalothorax is clean dorsally and on the right side some limbs are visible in the matrix. The rostrum is broad with an elevated triangular post-rostral area with sharp median carima leading to the base of the rostrum. This is typical of the genus. Anteriorly there is an oblique row of twelve setal-pits, a row of three transversely near the cervical groove, but the intermediate area where other pits may occur is damaged. The geological age of the specimen is not known.

## Genus Meticonaxius de Man, 1905

Meticonaxius de Man, August 1905: 592: 1925: 53 , 54; 1928: 18, 21, 30, 53. - Barnard 1950: 499. Balss 1957: 1579. - Le Loeuff \& Intès 1974: 23. - De

Saint Laurent 1973: 515; 1979: 1397. - Sakai \& de Sitint Laurent 1989: 9. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 507, 510-512, table 2. - Sakai 1992: 20-21.

Mewwius Bouvier, November 1905: 804. - De Man 1925: 8; 1928: 18. 20. - Bouvier 1925: 469, 470. Balss 1957: 1582 (type species by monotypy Metaxius microps Bouvier, 1905).

Typl srecies. - By monotypy: Meticonaxius monodofi de Man, 1905.

## diagnosis

Rostrum acute, usually medially and laterally carinate. Eyes visible in dorsal view. Anterolateral cephatuthorax with at least one vertical seral-row close to lateral carina. Abdominal somites 1-5 each with one lateral setal-row abdominal somite 6 with two-three converging lateral setal-rows; aldominal somites 3-5 with dense dorsal parches of plumose setae. Antenna 1 peduncle atticle 1 moderately elongate. Scaphocerite less than half length of antenna 2 peduncle article 4. Maxilliped 1 exopod a single article. Maxilliped 3 crista dentata somerimes reduced; merus with strong mesial row of setae; exopod very short or absent. Pereopod 1 fixed finger with at least a sharp curved toon twothirds way along. Pereopod 2 fixed finger with even conriguous spiniform setae; dacylus as long as fixed finger. Percopods 3 and 4 without lateral spiniform setae on propodus and dactylus (rarely one or two on dactylus 4). Percopod 4 carpus withour distal ridge on upper margin: propolus with one or two transverse setal-rows. Uropodal endopod with anterolateral margin bending, ending squarely, shorter than broad. Pleopods $2-5$ without marginal lamellac. Uropodal exopod anterolateral margin ending squarely, as broad as endopod. 'Telson longer than broad, clearty constricted, distally rounded. Epipods well developed and with podobranclis well developed, except on last. Arthrobrauchs well developed. Pleurobranchis 5-7 present.

Branchial formula ( $\mathbf{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eppod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Podobranch | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| Prthrobanch | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |  |
| Pleurobranch | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |

## COMPOSTIION

M. bouvieri Kensley of Heard, 1991: M. capricorni Coelho. 1987; M. longispinu (Stebhing, 1920); M. microps (Bouvier, 1905); M. monodon de Man, 1905: M. noumea n.sp.; M. soelae Sakai, 1992; M. spicatus n.sp.

## Remarks

The genus was most recently diagnosed by Kensley \& Heard (1991) and Sakai (1992). The new diagnosis differs only because the number of
seral-rows on the cephalothorax varies from one to three, according to species, not only two or two-three as stated by these authors. Most species have the branchial arrangement given above but one, M. microps, is withour pleurobranchs (Kensley \& Heard 1991).
Kensley \& Heard (1991) gave a key to five species. excluding M. minutus because of lack of information. This species is a member of Marcusiaxius. The number of described species in now eight plus one not yet described.

## Key to species of Meticonaxius

1. Rostrum apex round ..... 2

- Rosirum apex acute ..... 3

2. Maxilliped 3 with spine on merus, with minute exopod
Meticonaxius longispina (South Africa)

- Maxilliped 3 without spine on merus, without exopod
Meticonaxius microps (Caribbean Sea)

3. Rostrum shorter than eyestalk; pereopod 1 fingers as long as palm and carpus

$\qquad$
Meticonaxius spicatus (Caribbean Sea)

- Rostrum as long or longer than eyestalk ..... 4

4. Telson shorter than wide Meticonaxius capricorni (Brazil)

- Telson much longer than wide ..... 5

5. Uropodal endopod acute; rostrum as long as eyestalk
Meticonaxius noumea (New Caledonia)

- Uropodal endopod round; rostrum longer than eyestalk ..... 6

6. Telson much longer than wide; pereopod 1 merus without spine
Meticonaxius soela (Coral Sea)

- Telson as long or little longer than wide; pereopod 1 merus with 1-2 spines ..... 7

7. Pereopod 1 fingers as long as palm Meticonaxius bouvieri (Caribbean Sea)

- Pereopod 1 fingers shorter than palm
Meticonaxius monodon (Tanzania, Indonesia)

Meticonaxius bouvieri Kensley et Heard, 1991
(Figs 9, 10)
Meticonaxius bunvieri Kensley et Heard, 1991: 496, 512, 513, figs 11, 12.

Material ekamined. - Caribbean Sea. 432 m, (Atlantis, stn 3427), 1.V.1939. MCZ (ㅇ. cl. 10.5 mm ). -423 m (Atlanis, $\operatorname{stn} 3721$ ), 30.IV.1939, MCZ (2 우 9, cl. 10.3 and 21.6 mm , both very damaged and incomplete).

Distributhon. - Caribbean Sea; 180-768 m depth.

## Description

Female $(\operatorname{stn} 3427)$
Cephalothorax 0.27 total length, about 1.4 times as deep as wide; rostrum acute, slightly upturned distally, without dorsal setae, longer than broad at hase, lateral margins concave such that eyes are visible from dorsal view, 1.8 times as long as cyestalks; lateral carimae extending weakly on to


Fig. 9. - Meticonaxius bouvieri Kensley et Heard. A, B, anterior of cephalothorax; C, antenna 1 and D, detail of tip of flagellum; E, maxilla 1; F, maxilla 2; G, maxilliped 1 ; H, maxilliped 2 ; $\mathbf{I}$, maxilliped 3 ; $\mathbf{J}$, ischium and exopod of maxilliped 3 ; $\mathbf{K}$, 8 pleopod $1 ; \mathbf{L}$, pleopod 3; M, apex of appendix interna of pleopod 3; N, podobranch of pereopod 1. Figure J from Atlantis stn 3721; others from Atlantis, stn 3427.


Fig. 10. - Meticonaxius bowvierk Kensley et Heard. A, right cheliped; B. right pereopod 2; C, setal-row from right pereopod 2; D, left pereopod $3 ; E$ procodus and dactylus of left pereopod $4 ; F$, right pereopod $5 ; G$. details of finger and dactylus; $H$, right view of abdominal somite $1 ; 1$; dorsum of abdominal somite 1 ; $\mathbf{J}$, abdominal somite 6 , telson and uropod; K , left setal-rows of abdominal somite 6 ; L, setae on margin of uropodal endopod. Figure E from Atlantis, stn 3721; others from Atlantis, stn 3427.
cephalothorax, medial carina reaching to apex of rostrum; cervical groove weakly defined, reaching 0.6 length of cephalorhorax; dorsoposterior margin a square medial lobe, separated from posicrolateral margins; marginal setal-row absent; longitudinal setal-row of ren setae under lateral carina; setal-row of six setae set well back from anterolateral margin.
Abdominal somite 1 litele narrower than second, with anterolateral lobes overlying posterolateral margins of cephalothorax: pleuron munded; dorsolateral setal-row of nine setae. Abdominal somite 2 wice as long as firsr, pleurou overlapping first somite; transverse setal-row of about twenty setae. Abdominal somites 3-5 with long transverse setal-rows. Abdominal somite 6 with marginal setal-row of thirry-frve setae diverging anteriorly from edge of pleuron, oblique setal-row of about nwenty sctae, and transverse setal-row of about seventeen setae.
Eyestalks angular mesiodistally, cornea distolateral. Antenna 1 with short-waisted article 1 , slightly exceeding rostrum; articles 2 and 3 subequal, each abour half length of arricle 1; flagella of about eighreen and mentry-five arricles, longer than peduncle. Antenna 2 with long distinct articulating acicte, atrout length of article 2 ; arricle 4 excceding article 3 of antenna 1 by half irs length; article 5 short; flagellum almost rwice as long as peduncle.
Mandible incisor process wirh smoorh curting edge, excavate on right, broadly acute on lefi [sce Fig. 11D (M. noumea)]. Maxilla 2 endopod tapering; scaphognathite with one long posteriorlydirected seta. Maxilliped 1 with endopod 0.6 length of basal endite, exopod longer than basal endite, epipod lobes narrow, proximal lobe much longer: Maxilliped 2 exopod almost as long as endopodal merus; epipod well-developed, with vestigial podobranch. Maxilliped 3 ischium with crista dentata of eighteen blunt teeth; merus without mesial tooth bur inner margin distally constricted; isctium to merus with dense mesial rows of long setae; carpus to dactylus as long as ischium to merus, widest point of carpus 0.3 carpal length; exopod almost half length of ischium; epipod foliaceous, with podobranch.
Chelipeds with merus having two-three teeth on lower margin, upper margin strongly convex;
carpus unarmed; propodus swollen; fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus, its cutring edge wirh obsolete proximal blade and strong tooth twothirds along; dactylus cutting edge curved disrally, just longer than fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 merus to propodus with lower marginal rows of long setae; carpus 0.8 length of merus; propodus 0.4 length of carpus with setal-row of six short setae; fixed finger cutting edge with numerous contiguous spiniform setac; dactylus longer than fixed timger, straight.
Pereopod 3 propodus about as long as wide, upper margin lobed distally, lower margin convex, withont spiniform setae; two oblique sctal-rows of twenty-rwenty-five setae; dactylus short, slender and tapering.
Pereopod 4 propodus twice as long as wide, without spiniform setae; one oblique setal-row of nine setac, another submarginal setal-row of seven serae on upper margin; dactylus finely tapering.
Pereopod 5 weakly subchelate; dactylus closing on three spiniform setae at apex of a short fixed finger.
Pleopods 1 (female) 2-aricled. Pleopod 2 endopod 3.5 rimes as long as wide; appendix interna 6 times as long as wide; exopod almost rwice as long as wide inner margin straighr, lateral margin convex. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2.
Uropodal endopod with anterior margin convex, ending abruptly, posterior margin strongly lobed, 1.3 rimes as long as wide, with marginal short spiniform sctae distally; exopod subtriangular, width distally equal to length. Telson a little longet than wide, with constriction one-third way along, distally rounded.

## Admmonal NOTES

Maxilliped 3 exopod minute; pleopod 1 of male 2 -articled, second arricle elongate, triangular, with minute hooks on medial lobe; appendix masculina more robust and twice as long as appendix interna (fide Kensley \& Heard 1991).

Remarks
Kensley \& Heard (1991) provided a diagnosis of this species and some figures. It is illustrated here in detail as a species typical of the genus. The specimens do not possess the anterior marginal
setal-row on the carapace figured by Kensley \& Heard but, instad, have a short row set a litule more posteriorly. There are subte differences between this material and the figures of the holorype, notably in a narrower more uprumed msrrum, a longer exopod on maxilliped 3, three rather than two spines on the merus of pereopod 1, and more acute uropodal endopod but because all the naterial comes from much the same region I hesitate to erect a new species for these specimens.
Two specimens are very damaged but differ slightly from the one figured. They possess a meral spine on maxilliped 3 but this may be broken in the figured specimen and the holorype. There is a second, more posterior setal-row as in the holorype but the figured specimen is damaged in this region.

Meticonaxius capricorni Coelho, 1987 (Fig. 11A)

Meticonaxius sp. - Coelho \& Ramos-Porto 1987: 33.
Meticonaxius capricomi Coclho, 1987: 63-69, figs 1-3. - Kensley \& Heird 1991: 513.

Material exanined. - Brazil. ( $23^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $\left.43^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right), 156 \mathrm{~m}$, (Almirante Saldanhd, stn 10), 27.111.1972, MZUSP-7113 (holotype, $9, \mathrm{cl}_{7}$ 22 mm ), - Cabo Sa Tomé, 214 m , (Almirante Saldunba, stn 9), 11.11.1969, MZUSP-7114 (paratype, す. cl .13 mm ).

Distribuilon. - Brazil, 156-214 m depth.

## Remarks

The generic placement of this species was confirmed by examination of the rype material. I figure the thoracic sternite 7 . and the coxae of pereopod 4 which show a small episternite spine and a condylar surface. The male pleopod 1 is elongate and its second arricle has a small mesial lobe with minute hooks.

Meticonaxius longispina (Stebbing, 1920)
Axius longispina Stebbing, 1920: 265, 266, 26B, 27 (Crustacea pls 106B, 107).
Meticonaxius :longispima. - De Man 1925: 5.
Meticonaxius longispina. - Barnard 1950: 500,
fig. 93a-e. - Kensley 1981: 30. - Cochho 1987: 63. Kensley \& Heard 1991: 496-514, 516, fig. 13.

Malerial examined. - South Africa. 7 miles NNW off Cape Morgan. 126 m , SAM A957 (holorype, sex indeterminate, cl. 10 mm ).

Distribution. - South Africa; 91-126 m depth.

## Remarks

The generic placement of this species was confirmed by examination of the holotype. Kensley \& Heard (1991) figured new material from the same region. The broadly rounded rostrum and moderately truncate telson are diagnostic.

Meticonaxius microps (Bouvier, 1905)
Metaxius microps Bouvier, 1905: 804; 1925: 470-472, fig. 28. - De Man 1925: 1. 2, 8; 1928: 18, 20, 21, 30. - Balss 1925: 210. - Schmin 1935: 192, fig. 53. Balss 1957: 1582. - Coelho 1987: 63.
Meticonaxius microps. - Kenslcy \& Heard 1991: 496, 516, fig. 14.

Material examined. - Lesser Antilles. St Croix, 210 m (Blake, stn 123), MCZ (holotype, ô, cl. 3.6 mm ).

Distribimon. - Catibbean Sea, 186 m depth.

## Rimarks

The synonymy of Metaxius with Meticonaxius was first suggested by de Man (1928) and examination of the type specimen confirmed this. The species is the only one of the genus without pleurobranchs bur this is insufficient to warrant resurrection of Metaxius. The holotype was refigured by Kensley \& Heard (1991). The short rounded rostrum harely exceeding the eyestalks distinguishes this species.

Meticonaxius monodon de Man, 1905
Meticonaxius monodon de Man, 1905: 593; 1925: 5460, pls a, 5. figs 10-10t; 1928: 20, 21, 30. - Balss 1925: 210. - Coelho 1987: 63. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 516-519, fig. 15.
Callianassa (Calliactites) coeca Balss, 1921: 175, 176.
Callianassa (?Scallasis) coeca. - Balss 1925: 212, 213 (28, 29), fig. 16, - De Man 1928: 30.

Material examined. - Indonesia. Off NE point of

Java $\left(7^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-114^{\circ} 30.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right), 330 \mathrm{~m}($ Siboga, $\operatorname{stn} 5)$, ZMA (holotype of Meticondexius monadon de Man, ${ }^{\circ}$. not $\circ$ as stated by de Man, d. 8 mm, $t .23 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
Tanzania. Dar es Salaam ( $06^{\circ} .34^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-39^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 404 m (Valdivia, stn 242), 20.Ill.1899, mawl, Z.MB (holotype of Calliamasa (Callinctites) coeca Balss, $\begin{gathered}\text {, }\end{gathered}$ cl. 9 mm ).

Distribution. - Tanzania and Indonesia, $330-404 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

## Remarks

The junior synonymy of Callianasa coeca and the generic characters of this, the type species, were confirmed. The holotype was reillustrated and the species diagnosed by Kensley \& Heard (1991). The species is best recognised by the combination of acute rostrum and moderately truncate, rather than rounded, telson.

## Meticonaxius noumea 11.sp.

(Fig. 11B-K)

Materlal examined. - New Caledonia. SSE of Yaté ( $22^{\circ} 13^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-167^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 275-320 m (BIOCAL, $\operatorname{stn}$ CP110), 9.1X.1985, beam trawl, MNHN Th-1224 (holotype, $9, \mathrm{cl} .13 \mathrm{~mm}$, in fragenents).

Distribution. - Off souch-eastern comer of main island of New Caledonia, 275-320 m depth.

Etymology. - For Nouméa, capital city of New Caledonia (noun in apposition).

## Diagnosis

Rostrum acute, as long as eyestaiks; longitudinal setal-row indistinct; setal-tow of four setae close to anterolateral margin. Eyestalks rounded mesiodistally. Antenna 1 with article 1 longer than rostrum. Antenna 2 with long distinct articulating scaphocerite, about two-thirds length of article 4; article 4 reaching as far as article 3 of antenna 1 . Maxilliped 3 exopod minute.
Chelipeds equal; merus with one tooth on lower margin. Pereopod 3 propodus abour 1.4 rimes as long as wide, two oblique setal-rows of fifteeneighteen setae. Pereopod 4 propodus 1.7 times as long as wide, one oblique setal-row of eleven setae, another submarginal setal-row of five setae on upper margin.
Uropodal endopod 1.2 times as long as wide;
exopod subtriangular, 1.7 times as long as wide. Telson a little longer than wide, with clear constriction one-third way along, distally tapering to rounded apex.

## Remarks

Although this specimen is not in good condition it could not be reconciled with any orher species. Meticonaxius noumea is norable for the short acute rostrum, no longer than the eyestalks, shorter only in M. spicatus. The mandibular incisors are figured in situ to show their asymmetry. The species is most similar to M. sorlat Sakai which occurs in the same region bur differs in shorter rostrum, spine on merus of pereopod 1 , natrower pereopod 1, more oval pereopod 3 propodus, more acute uropodal exopod and shorter telson.

Meticonaxius soelae Sakai, 1992
Meticonaxius solae Sakai, 1992: 21-25, figs 6-8.
Distribution. - Coral Sea, 300 m depth.

## Remarks

The species is very similar to M. noumea n.sp., from which it is distinguished above, and to M. monodon.

## Meticonaxius spicatus n.sp.

(Fig. 12)
Matrilal, examined. - Caribbean Sea. 351 m (Atlantis, stn 3418), 30.IV.1939. MCZ (holotype, immature $\delta, \mathrm{cl} .7 .2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Etrmoloci. - From spica (L..), a spike, alluding to the nature of the fingers of the cheliped.

Distribution. - Caribbean Sea (exact location unknown), 351 m depth.

## Diagnosis

Rosttum acute, with broad median carina, shorter rhan eyestalks: longirudinal setal-row indistinct; setal-row of four setae set back from anterolateral margin; oblique sctal-row of cight setae short distance posterior: plus setal-row of three near cervical groove. Eyestalks rounded mesiodistally. Antenna 1 with article 1 longer


Fig. 11. - Meticonaxius capricomi Coetho. A, sternum and coxa of pereopod 4, from MZUSP-7114. Meticonaxius noumea n.sp. B, C. dorsal and right view of anterior cephalothorax; $\boldsymbol{D}_{5}$, ventral view of mandibular incisors in situ; $\mathbf{E}$, maxilliped 3 ; $F_{r}$ exocod of maxilliped 3; G. right cheliped; H. propodus and dactylus of right pereopod 2 ; I, propodus and dactylus of left pereopod 3; J. propodus and dactylus of left pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{K}$, telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.
than eyestalks. Antenna 2 with long distinct articulating scaphocerite, about one-third length of article 4; article 4 reaching beyond article 3 of antenna 1. Maxilliped 3 exopod half length of ischium.
Chelipeds equal; merus unarmed; fixed finger longer than body of propodus, with eight irregular teeth on cutting edge. Pereopod 3 propodus about 1.8 times as long as wide, two oblique setal-rows
of five and ten setae. Pereopod 4 propodus twice as long as wide, without oblique setal-row, submarginal setal-row of three setae on upper margin; dactylus with two small spiniform setae.
Uropodal endopod 1.4 times as long as wide; exopod subtriangular, distal width equal to length. Telson a little longer than wide, with clear constriction one-third way along, tapering to distally truncate-convex apex.

## REMARKS

Meticonaxius spicatus is distinguished from others in the extreme elongation and dentition of the cheliped fingers, and the very shore rostrum.

## Meticonaxius sp.

Material examined. - Philippines. Exact position and depth unknown (Albatross stn), USNM not regis-


FIG. 12. - Meticonaxius spicatus n.sp, A, dorsal cephaiothorax; B, anterior cephalothorax; C, maxilliped 2; D, maxilliped 3; E, left cheliped; $\mathbf{F}$, left pereopod 2; $\mathbf{G}$, left pereopod 3 ; $\mathbf{H}$, left pereopod 4 ; $\mathbf{I}$, detail of propodus and dactylus; $\mathbf{J}$, abdominal somite 6 , telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.
tered (damaged specimen without carapace, length of abdomen 62 mm ).

## Remarks

A single large specimen from the collections of the Albatross in the Philippines could be assigned to Meticondxizes but was too damaged to be described in sufficient detail to be worthwhile. It does not appear to belong to a known species. Its exact provenance is also uncertain. The specimen is by far the largest individual of the genus so far caprured.

Genus Michelea Kensley et Heard, 1991
Michelea Kensley et Heard, 1991: 519.
Type species. - By original designation: Callianidea vandoverae Gore, 1987.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum obsolete or obscurely dentate, not carinate. Eyes visible in dorsal view. Anterolateral cephalothorax with one longitudinal, one marginal and one vertical setal-row. Abdominal somites 1-5 each with one lateral setal-row, abdominal somite 6 with three pairs of setal-rows of which two are in line along posterior margin; abdominal somites 3-5 with sparse paired dorsal patches of long simple setue. Antenna 1 peduncle article 1 extremely elongate. Antenna 2 scaphocerite small. Maxilliped 1 exopod without second article. Maxilliped 3 with reeth of crista dentata reduced; merus with strong mesial row of sctac; exopod exceeding end of ischium. Pereopod 1 fixed finger with bicuspidate blade (somerimes obsolete) about one-third way along. Pereopod 2 fixed finger with few separate spiniform setac; dactylus longer than fixed finger. Pereopods 3 and 4 with lateral spiniform setae on propodus and dactylus. l'ercopod 4 propodus with two transverse sctal-rows. l'lcopods $2-5$ with marginal lamellae at laast on endopod and frequently on both rami. Uropodal cndopod with antcrolateral margin not defined, ovate, longer than broad. Uropodal exopod anterolateral matgin continuous to apex, ovate, broader than endopod. Teison broader than long, obscurely constricted, distally rounded. Epipods on maxilliped 2 to pereopod 4 weakly developed or absent, podo-
branchs a single filament, reduced or lost. Branchiae well developed, reduced or absent.

Branchial formula (maximum numbers given, reduced in many species):

| Tharacomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Plurobranch | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |

## Composition

M. abranchiata n.sp.; M. devaneyi n.sp.; M. bortus n.sp.; M. lamellosa Kensley et Heard, 1991; M. lepta (Sakai, 1987); M. leura (Poore et Griffin, 1979); M. micropbylld n.sp.; M. novaecaledoniae n.sp.; M. paraleura n.sp.: M. pillsburyi Kensley of Heard, 1991; M. vandoverae (Gore, 1987).

## Remarks

Michelea is the most distincrive of all micheleid genera. 'The rostrum is absent but obsolete dentition in M. vandoverae suggests derivation from a rostrate ancestor. The uropodal rami are more ovate than in the other genera and the scaphocerite is slightly reduced. The lateral surfaces of the propodus and dactylus of pereopods 3 and 4 bear rows of spiniform setae similar to those seen in many axiid genera; these are nor found (with rare exceprion) in other micheleids.
Most importantiy, the pleopods of Micbelea bear marginal lamellae, at least on the lateral edge of the endopod but usually on margins of both rami. The lamellae are simply ovate in most species but in $M$. vandoverate each lamella has a second distal segmenr.
Branchiac are frequently lose in this genus and the formula given above is for the maximum number of gills. Several grades from partial to total loss of branchiae are seen; in fact a pleurobranch on rhoracic somire 7 , usual in other members of the family; is seen in only $M$. wundoverae. Branchial formulae are given for cach species. The mouthparts figured for M. leura are typical for all members of the genus.
Kensley \& Heard (1991) gave a key to the five species then known. The number of described
species is now eleven and another undescribed species is known from an incomplete specimen from Montgomery Reef, northern Western Australia (Queensland Muscum. Brisbane, W20365). Identification is probably best made first on geographic likelihood and then compari-
son with published figures. The species are very similar but some can be easily recognised by the number of branchiae or pleopodal lamellac. However, each species is known from so few spccimens that nothing is known of variability in these or other characters.

## Key to species of Micheleat

1. Gills reduced or absent .......................................................................................... 2
— Gills well developed or rudimentary only posteriorly ........................................... 4
2. Pleopods $2-5$ with almost 100 lamellae on both rami

Michelea lamellosa (Jamaica)
— Plcopods 2-5 with 20 or fewer lamellae on both rami .......................................... 3
3. Gills absent; telson as long as wide Michelea abranchiata (Caribbean Sea)

- Gills minute; telson longer than wide $\qquad$ Michelea microphylla (S Australia)

4. Pleopods $2-5$ with 7 or fewer lamellae on endopod only ..................................... 5
—Pleopods 2-5 with lamellae on both rami .............................................................. 7
5. Telson tapering to truncare apex ........................................... Michelea lepta (Japan)
— Telson tapering to sharply rounded apex .............................................................. 6
6. Pleopod 2 endopod without lamellae; antenna 1 articles 2 and 3 third length of article 1 Michelea hortus (W Australia)

- Pleopod 2 endopod with four lamellae; antenna 1 articles 2 and 3 sixth length of article 1 Michelea novaecaledoniae (New Caledonia)

7. Pleopods 2-5 with numerous 2 -segmented lamellae on both rami

Michelea vandoverae (Caribbean Sea)

- Pleopods 2-5 with 20 or fewer 1 -segmented lamellae on both rami 8

8. Pleopod 2 endopod with lamellae on lateral and distal half of medial margin; telson semicircular

Michelet leura (Grear Barrier Reef, Australia)

- Pleopod 2 endopod with lamellae on lateral and apical margins only; telson triangular or with truncate apex 9

9. Telson longer than wide; maxilliped 3 merus with strong spine Michelea pillsburyi (Caribbean coast of Panama)

- Telson as long as wide; maxilliped 3 merus with weak spine .............................. 10

10. Telson rapering to rounded apex $\qquad$
Michelea paraleura (Grear Barrier Reef, Australia)

- Telson tapering to truncate-angled apex $\qquad$ Michelea devaneyi (Marshall Islands)


## Michelea abranchiata n.sp.

(Figs 13,14)
Material fxaminfo. - Caribbean Sea. British West Indies, Barbuda, Spanish Point ( $17^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $61^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ), shore (Smithsonian Bredin Expedition, stn 122a.58), 28.1V.1958, USNM 122447 (holotype, ${ }^{\circ}$, cl. $3.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{il}$. 16 mm , with 1 slide). - Pucros Rico, 23.VI.1915. USNM 3664 (paratype, $0^{*}$. cl. 4.3 mm ; tl. 19 mm ).

Etymolocis, - The specific name alludes to the absence of gills.

Distribution. - Caribbean Sea; intertidal.

## Description

Cephalothorax 0.21 total length; rostrum flat, narrowly produced, about half as long as eyestalks; cervical groove weakly defined, dorsally reaching 0.6 length of cephalothorax; longiuclinal setal-row level with lateral margin of eyestalk. of seven setae; marginal setal-row of five setae at base of eyestalk; lateral setal-row of six serae.
Abdominal somite I with dorsolateral setal-rows


Fig. 13. - Michelea abranchiata n.sp. A, cephalothorax; B, anterior cephalothorax; C, abdominal somites 1 and 2; D, telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.
of six setat. Abdominal somites $2-5$ with transverse setal-rows of nine, six, six, six setre respectively. Abdominal somite 6 without marginal setal-row along edge of pleuron, oblique seralrow of about six serae, and transverse sctal-row of about five + four setae in two groups. All abdominal somites with groups of long setae dorsally.
Eyestalks slighty fattened, with small distomesial lobe; cornea distal.
Antenna 1 with elongate waisted article 1 , about 0.4 length of cephalothorax; article 2 longer than 3 , together abour 0.3 length of article 1. Antenna 2 with distinct articulating acicle, about half length of article 2 ; article 4 reaching almost to end of peduncle of antenna 1 ; article 5 short. Maxilliped 1 cpipod lobes reduced, equal. Maxilliped 2 exopod minute; epipod minute. Maxilliped 3 ischium with obsolere crista dentata of eight blunt teeth; merus without mesial tooth; exopod with flagellum reaching to base of merus; epipod absent.
Chelipeds with ischium with weak lower tooth; merus with weak tooth on slightly convex lower margin, upper margin convex; carpus unarmed; propodus swollen proximally and tapering; fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus, its cutting edge obsoletely toothed: dactylus curved evenly, with sinuous cutting edge, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 essentially as in M. leura.
Pereopod 3 proporlus 2.2 times as long as wide, with four spiniform setae on lower margin, clusters of two and three spiniform setae distally on mesial face; dactylus with two spiniform setae on upper-mesial margin.
Pereopod 4 propodus 3.0 times as long as wide, weakly aligned transvetse rows of spiniform setae on mesial face, concentrated near matgins and strongest on lower margin and distally, and two transverse setal-rows of four and two setae; dactylus with five spiniform serae on upper-mesial margin.
Pleopod 1 of male, second arricle a rounded-triangular distal blade. Pleopod 2 of male endopod with twelyè marginal lanellae distally and laterally; appendix interna club-shaped, 2.5 times as long as widé; appendix masculina 3 times as long as appendix interna; exopod with about ten lamellac distolaterally. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2.

Uropodal endopod ovate, 1.6 times as long as wide, withour blade-like setae; exopod ovate, 1.7 times as long as wide, with lateral row of spiniform setae beconning longer and blade-like mesially. Telson 0.75 rimes as long as wide, distally tapering to rounded apex.

Branchial formula ( $\mathbf{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomerc | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | r | r | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Remarks

Michelea abranchiata is notable for the complete absence of gills and rakes one step further the reduction seen in M. micraphylla from Australia and $M$. lamellosa Kensley et Heard from Jamaica.

## Michelea devaneyin.sp. <br> (Fig. 15)

Material examintid. - Marshall Islands. $N$ of Sand lsland (near Eniwetok Island), Eniwetok Atoll ( $11^{\circ} 30$ 'S - $162^{\circ} 15^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), under rock on sand. 2 m , A. D. Havens, 10 V V1I. 1968 , MNHN Th- 1305 (holotype, ovigerous 9 就. $7.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{tl} .33 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Rigili, Eniwerok Aroll (11 $30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-162^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), D. M. Devaney, 25.II.1957. MNHIN Th-1307 (paratype. ${ }^{\text {o }}, \mathrm{cl} .3 .9 \mathrm{~mm}, 11.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); same locality (59/16) 21 July. D. M. Devaney, MNHN Th- 1306 (paratype, 9. cl. $6.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{rl} .29 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Etrmorogy. - For the late D. M. Devaney who collected and donated the paratypic specimens.

Distribution. - Marshall Islands, subtidal.

## DESCRIPTION

Cephalothorax 0.23 total length; rostrum flat, broad, abour third as long as cyestalks; cervical groove very weakly defined, teaching 0.6 length of cephalothorax; longirudimal setal-row level with lateral margin of eyestalk, of nine setae; marginal setal-row of six setae at base of eyestalk; lateral setal-row of six setae.
Abdominal somite 1 with dorsolateral setal-rows of twelve setae. Abdominal somites $2-5$ each with transverse setal-row of about seven setae. Abdominal somite 6 without marginal setal-row along edge of pleuron, oblique setal-row of about seven setae, and transverse setal-row of about


FIG. 14. - Michelea abranchiata n.sp. A, maxilla 2; B, maxilliped 1; C, maxilliped 2; D, ischium and exopod of maxilliped 3; E, left cheliped; $\mathbf{F}$, right pereopod $2 ; G$, details of fingers; $\mathbf{H}$, right pereopod 3 ; I, propodus and dactylus; J, right pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{K}$, propodus and dactylus; $\mathbf{L}$, di pleopod 1; M, of pleopod 2. Figures A-C, L, M from holotype; others from USNM 3664.
five+six setae in two groups. All abdominal somites with groups of long sctac dorsally. Eyestalks slightly flattened, cornea distolateral. Antenna 1 with elongate waisted article 1 , about 0.6 length of cephatortorax; atricles 2 and 3 equal, together about 0.3 length of article 1 . Antenna 2 with distinct articulating acicle, almost as long as article 2 : article 4 reaching almost to end of article 2 of antenna 1 : article 5 slort.
Maxilliped 1 epipod lobes narrow, proximal lobe longer. Maxilliped 2 exopod 0.4 length of merus; epipod well-developed. Maxilliped 3 ischium with obsolete crista dentata of ten blunt teeth; merus with mesial tooth; exopod with flagellum reaching to middle of merus; epipod present. Chelipeds equal; ischium with weak lower toorh; merus with weak tooth on slightly convex lower margin, upper margin convex proximály; carpus unarmed: propodus swollen proximally and tapering; fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus, iss cutring edge moderately toothed; dactylus curved apically.
Pereopod 2 essencially as in $M$. leura bur with nine spiniform setae on fixed finger, six on dactylus.
Pereopod 3 propodus 2.3 times as long as wide, with five spiniform setae on lower margin, clusrers of three and four spiniform serae distally on mesial face; dactylus with four spiniform setae on upper-mesial margin.
Percopod 4 propodus 3.6 times as long as wide. with about six weakly aligned transverse rows of spiniform serae on mesial face, concenrated near margins and strongest on lower margin and disrally, and two transverse setal-rows of four and five serae; dactylus with nine spiniform setae on upper-mesial margin.
pleopod 1 of male with a rriangular distal blade. Pleopod 2 of male endopod with three marginal lamellae distally and five proximolaterally; appendix interna linear, 10 times as long as wide; appendix masculina twice as long as appendix interna; exopod with one distal lamella. Pleopod 1 of female weakly divided inno two articles, second longer and with marginal serae. Pleopod 2 of female endopod with three-four marginal lamellae distally and six-ten proximotaterally, appendix interna broad, 4 times as long as wide, with apical lohe; exopod with five-six lamellae distolaterally. Pleopods 3-5 essentially
similar to pleopod 2 of fermale.
Uropodal endopod broadly ovare, 1.3 times as long as wide, without blade-like setae, with minute apial spine; exopod ovate, 1.7 times as long as wide, with lateral row of minute spiniform setae. Telson 0.9 times as long as wide, distally with a broadly angled apex.

Branchial formula ( $r$ = rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | r | r | r | - |
| Pododranch | - | f | f | f | f | - |  |  |
| Arthrobranch | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |  |

Epipods broader anteriorly than posteriorly; podobranchs filamentous ( $f$ ).

## Remarks

This species has unusual pleopods on which the lamelld are grouped distally and laterally. There is some size-related differences in the number of lamella between individuals. The characteristic form of the mandibular incisor, typical of the genus, is ligured for this species. The exopod of maxilliped 2 is better developed, reaching almost halfway along the merus, in $M$. devancyi than in other species.

## Michelea bortus n.sp.

(Fig. 16)
Matimal examined. - Western Australia. 1.5 miles W of $S$ and of Garden Island ( $32^{\circ} 12 \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $115^{\circ} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), R. W. George on Bhefin, 13.VIII.1962, WAM $57-75$ (holatype \&, cl. $3.6 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{fl} .14 .3 \mathrm{~mm}$, wilh pereopods 1 , efft pereopod 2, right percepod 5).

Etymology. - From hartus (L.), a garden, alluding to the type locality (noun in apposition).

Distribution. - South Western Ausiralia; shelf.

## Description

Cephalothorax 0.25 total length; rostrum flat, abour 0.6 length of cyestalks; cervical groove weakly defincd, reaching 0.55 length of cephatothorax; longitudinal setal-row level with middle of eycstalk, of six setae; marginal setal-row of two serae; two lateral seral-rows each of two setae.


Fig. 15. - Michelea devaneyi n.sp. A, cephalothorax; B, cephalothorax, abdominal somites 1 and 2; C, mandibles in situ; D, maxilliped 2; $\mathbf{E}$, maxilliped $3 ; \mathbf{F}$, right cheliped $1 ; \mathbf{G}$, left pereopod $2 ; \mathbf{H}$, details of fixed fingers; $\boldsymbol{I}$, right pereopod $3 ; \mathbf{J}$, right pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{K}$, of pleopod 1; L, है pleopod 1; M, of pleopod 2; N, of appendices interna and masculina. Figures L, N, from MNHN Th-1307; all others from holotype.


Fig. 16. - Michelea hortus n.sp. A, habitus sketch; B, C, anterior of cephalothorax; D, sternum and coxae of pereopods 1-5, abdominal somite $1 ; \mathbf{E}$, right cheliped; $\mathbf{F}$, left pereopod 2 ; $\mathbf{G}$, detail of fingers; $\mathbf{H}$, pleopod 2 ; I, pleopod 3 ; $\mathbf{J}$, detail of appendix interna; $\mathbf{K}$, pleopod $4 ; \mathbf{L}$, telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.

Abdominal somite 1 with dorsolateral setal-row of four setiae. Abdominal somite 2 with transverse setal-row of ren setac. Abdominal somites 3-5 with transverse setal-rows of five-six setae. Abdominal somite 6 withour marginal setal-row along edge of pleuron, oblique seral-row of about six setae, and transverse setal-row of about three+three setae in two groups. All abdominal somites with groups of long setae dorsally.
Eyestalks slightly flattened, cornea distolateral.
Antenna I with elongate waisted article 1, about half as long as eephalothorax; arcicles 2 and 3 subequal, each about one-third length of article 1. Antenna 2 with distinct articulating acicle, about 0.3 length of article 2 ; atricle 4 reaching beyond article 2 of antenna 1 ; article 5 short.
Maxilliped 1 epipod as in M. lezra. Masilliped 2 exopod mitnute; epipod reduced. Maxilliped 3 ischium with obsolete crista dentata; merus with mesial tooth; carpus-dactylus longer than ischium-merus, widest point of carpus 0.3 carpal length; exopod with flagellum reaching to middle of merus; epipod narrow, with rudimentary podobranch.
Chelipeds equal; ischium with weak lower tooth; merus with weak toont on convex lower margin, upper margin strongly convex; carpus unarmed; propodus swollen proximally and tapering; fixed finger 0.3 length of propodus, its cutting edge with obsolete teeth. curved apicilly; dactylus curved apically, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 essentially as in $M$. leura bur with three spiniform setae on fixed finger, four on dactylus.
Pereopods 3-4 unknown.
Pleopod 1 of female of two short articles. Pleopod 1 of male unknown. Pleopod 2 without marginal lamellac; appendix interna 4 times as long as wide. Pleopods $3-5$ with five, four, five lamellae respectively proximolaterally on endopod; appendix interna narrower than on pleopod 2; exopod without lamellae.
Uropodal endopod broadly ovate, 1.4 times as long as wide, with minute apical tooth and small medial lober exopod 1.6 times as long as wide, with short spiniform setae laterally and distally. Telson abour as long as wide, distally tapering to rounded apex.

Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary; $\mathrm{f}=$ filamenrous):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | $f$ | $f$ | $f$ | $f$ | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | 1 | $1+r$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## Remarks

The species is similar to M. novaecaledoniae and M. lepta in the paucity of lamellae on the pleopods but differs slightly in this regard and in proportions of limbs.

Michelea lamellosa Kensley et Heard, 1991
Michelea lamellosa Kensley et Heard, 1991: 496, 519-522, figs 16, 17.

Distribution. - Jamaica; 24 m depth.

## Remarks

The species was described in detail by its authors and is notable for the reduction in number and size of branchiac. It is one of few micheleid species with two long setae on the maxilla 2 scaphognathite.

Michelea lepta (Sakai, 1987)
Callianidea lepta Sakai, 1987: 300-302, fig. 3.
Michelea Lepta. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 519.
Distribution. - Okinawa, Japan; intertidal.

## Remarks

M. lepta is without lamellae on the pleopodal exopods and is therefore similar to $M$. hortus from Western Australia. The latter has even fewer lamellae and differs in the shape of telson and uropods. No material of M. lepta has been seen by me.

Michelea leura (Poore et Griffin, 1979)
(Figs 17-19)
Callianiden leura Poore at Griffin, 1979: 281-284, figs 40, 41 (in part). - Sakai 1984: 104.
Michelea leura. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 519.
Material examined. - Australia. Queensland,

Masthead Island, Capricorn Group (23025'S $151^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), AM P25294 (holotype ovigerous of, cl. $6.0 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~d} .25 .8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). - Quecenstand, low water under dead coral blocks, Juncs Reef flat. Heron Island, Capricorn Group (23 $3^{\circ} 25^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-151^{\circ} 55^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 23.1X. 1976 , MNHN Th-642 (juvenile of c. 4.0 mm, tl. 14.8 mm ).

## Description of hoiotype

Cephalothorax 0.25 total length, about 1.4 times as deep as wide; rostrum flat, slightly depressed distally, as long as broad at base, less than half as
long as eyestalks; cervical groove weakly defined, reaching 0.6 length of cephalothorax: dorsoposterior margin narrowly produced to rounded lobe, separated from posterolateral margins which are ridged and setose; longitudinal setal-row level with lateral margin of eyestalk, of seven setac; marginal setal-row of nine setae at base of eyestalk; lateral setal-row of six setac.
Abdominal somite 1 narrower than second, with anterolateral lobes overlying posterolateral margins of cephalothorax; pleuron weakly rounded;


Fig. 17. - Michelea leura (Poore et Griffin, 1979). A, habitus sketch; B, cephalothorax and abdominal somites 1 and 2; C, cephalo. thorax; D, detail of anterolateral setal-rows; E, ventral view of abdominal somite 1; F, abdominal somite 6, telson and uropod; $\mathbf{G}$, setae on margin of uropodal exopod. All figures from holotype.


Fig. 18. - Michelea leura (Poore et Griffin, 1979). A, left cheliped and B, details of fingers; C, right pereopod 2; D, fingers of left pereopod 2; E, left pereopod $3 ; \mathbf{F}$, left pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{G}$, right pereopod $5 ; \mathbf{H}$, details of fingers; $\mathbf{l}$, q pleopod $1 ; \mathbf{J}$, q pleopod $2 ; \mathbf{K}$, detail of appendix interna. All figures from holotype.
dorsolateral setal-rows of eight serac. Abdominal somite 22.7 times as long as first, pleuron wealdy overlapping first somite; transverse setal-row of ten serae. Abdominal somite 6 with marginal setal-row along edge of pleuron, oblique seral-row of abour eight setae, and transverse setal-row of about fourtren setae in two groups. All abdominal somites with groups of long setae dorsally. Eyestalks slighrly flatrened, comea distolareral. Antenna I with clongate waisted article 1, almost half as long as cephalothorax; articles 2 and 3 subequal, each about 0.2 length of article 1; thagella each of about fifteen articles, longer than peduncle. Antenna 2 with distinct articulating acicle, about half length of article 2; article 4 reaching just beyond article 1 of antenna 1 ; article 5 short; flagellum almost twice as long as pedunde. Mandible incisor process with smooth cutting edge, excavate on right, broadly acute on left (see Fig. 19C). Maxilla 2 endopod tapeting; scaphognathite with one long posteriorly-directed seta. Maxilliped 1 with endopod 0.3 length of basal endite, exopod longer than endite, epipod lobes narrow, proximal lobe longer. Maxilliped 2 exopod minute; epipod well-developed. Maxilliped 3 ischium with obsolete crista dentata of eleven blunt teeth; merus with mesial tooth; ischiummerus with dense mesial rows of long setae; cat-pus-dactylus longer dhan ischium-nerus, widest point of catpus 0.3 carpal length; exopod with flagellum reaching to base of merus; epipod narrow, bent, with filamentous podobranch
Chelipeds equal; ischium with weak lower tooth; merus with weak tooth on slighty convex lowermargin, uppet margin strongly convex, especially proximally; carpus unatmed; ptopodus swollen proximally and tapering; fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus, its cutting edge with two obsolete teeth on proximal half; dactylus cutting edge irregular, curved distally, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 merus-propodus with lower marginal rows of long serae; carpus 0.6 length of merus; propodus little longer than carpus wirh setal-row of five short serae: fixed finger cutting edge with seven well-spaced spiniform setae; dactylus longer than fixed finger, with five spiniform setae on distal half of cutting edge, tip curved.
Pereopod 3 propodus rwice as long as wide, with four spiniform setae on lower margin, two clus-
ters of three spiniform setac distally on mesial face, and two transvetse setal-rows of four and five setac; dactylus with three spiniform setae on upper-mesial margin.
Pereopod 4 propodus 2.8 times as long as wide, four-six weakly aligned transverse rows of spiniform setae on mesial face, concentrated near margins and strongest on lower margin and distally, and two transverse setal-rows of five and three setac; dactylus with about eleven spiniform setae in weak rows on upper-mesial margin.
Pereopod 5 weakly chelate; dactylus with nine short spiniform serae closing on eight longer spiniform setac, with a strong apical spiniform seta. Plenpods 1 of female nearly midventral, 2-articled. Pleopod 2 endopod with thirty-one marginal lamellae along distal third on mesial margin, distally and laterallys appendix interna 3 times as long as wide; exopod twice as long as wide, intmer margin straight, lateral margin convex, nineteen lamellae distolatetally. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2.
Uropodal endopod ovate, 1.2 times as long as wide, with a minate distal tooth; exopod ovate, 1.6 times as long as wide, with marginal short spiniform setae distally. Telson length 0.8 times width, proximally parallel-sided, distally semicircular.

## Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | r | r | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | $f$ | $f$ | $f$ | $f$ | - | - |
| Artirobranch | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

Epipods broader anteriorly than posteriorly; podobranchs filamentous ( f ).

## Colour

White. Abdomen, telson and uropodal endopod translucent with red lateral edges. Cornea dark brown. Red spot on distal end of peduncle of antenna 2 and on maxillipedal carpus. Intestine yellow (from notes made by A. J. Bruce on Th.-642).

## Remarks

There are several fundamental differences between the holotype and the paratype of this spe-


FIG. 19. - Michelea leura (Poore et Griffin, 1979). A, antenna 1 and B, detail of tip of flagellum; C, right mandible; D, maxilla 1; E, maxilla $2 ; F$, maxilliped $1 ; \mathbf{G}$, maxilliped $2 ; \mathbf{H}$, maxilliped $3 ; \mathbf{I}$, basis, exopod, epipod and podobranch of maxilliped 3 . All figures from holotype.
cics which are now comsidcred to belong to two specics. A third specimen from the Capricorn Group, southern Great Barrier Reef, near the type locality, resembles the holotype and is assigned to M. leura. Another, from the northerm Great Barrier Reef, is more similar to the paratype which also comes from this region and the two are described as a new species, M. paraletum. Body proportions of the new species are different, abdominal somite 2 is proportionally longer (about 4 times as long as abdominal somite 1 , of. 2.7 times in the holotype). More importantly, both uropodal rami are rimmed with rows of closely-ser spiniform serae of which some are blade-like as, for example, in M. microphyila.
This species is illustrated in the greatest detail in this contribution but the male is unknown. It is most easily recognised by the combination of numerous pleopodal lamellae and relatively short telson.

## Michelea microphylla n.sp.

(Figs 20, 21)
Material examined. - Australia. Victoria, Western Port, Crib Point ( $38^{\circ} 19.92$ S - $145^{\circ} 13.95^{\prime}$ E), Marine Srudies Group, February 1972, sand/gravel, 19 m, grab ( $\operatorname{stn}$ CPBS 52N), NMV 11263 (holotype, juvenile of. (t. $4.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.12 .8 \mathrm{~mm})$.

Etrmolock. - from micros, small and phyllos, leaf ( Ck ), alluding to the small gills.

Distribution. - Victoria, Australia; 19 m depth.

## Discription

Cephalothorax 0.3 total length; rostrum flat, narrowly acute distally, about two-thirds as long as eyestalks; cervical groove wealkly defined posreriorly only, reaching 0.55 length of cephalothorax: longitudinal setal-row level with latcral margin of eycstalk, of live setac: marginal scral-row of five serac ar base of eyestalk; lateral sctal-row of five sctac.
Abdominal somite 1 with dorsolateral setal-rows of six setae. Abdominal somites $2-5$ cach with transverse setal-row of about nine sctae. Abdominal somite 6 without marginal setal-row along edge of plcuron, oblique setal-row of about six setae, and transverse setal-row of about
five + four sctac in two groups. All abdominal somites with grotps of long setae dorsally. Eyestalks slightly Hattencd, cotnca vestigial.
Antenna 1 with long waisted article 1 , about one-third as long as cephalothorax; articles 2 and 3 subequal, each about 0.3 length of arricle 1. Antenna 2 with distinct articulating acicle, about half length of article 2 ; article 4 reaching to end of peduncle of antenna 1 ; article 5 short.
Maxilliped I epipod lobes narrow, proximal lobe shorter. Maxilliped 2 exopod minute; epipod reduced. Maxilliped 3 ischium with obsolete crista dentata of seven blunt teeth: merus without mesial tooth; ischium-merus with sparse mesial rows of long setae: carpus-dactylus longer than ischium-merus, widest point of carpus 0.3 catpal length; exopod with flagellum reaching to base of morus; epipod narrow, without podobranch.
Chelipeds equal: ischium with weak lower tooth; merus with weak tooth on slightly convex lower margin, upper margin convex; carptis unarmed; propodus swollen proximally and tapering; fixed finger 0.4 lcngth of propodus, its cutting edge almost straight: dactyltis curved evenly, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 essenrially as in M. Leuma but dactylus with five spiniform setae on distal half of cutting edge.
Pereopod 3 propodus 1.7 times as long as wide, with three spiniform setae on lower margin, one spiriform sca disally on mesial face, and transverse secal-row of fout setac; dactylus with spiniform seta on upper-mesial margin.
Percopod 4 propodus 2.4 times as long as wide, two spiniform setae on lower margin, onc distally on mesial face, setal-row of two sctac; dactylus with two spiniform setae on upper-mesial margin.
Pereopod 5 wcakly chclatc; dactylus with four short spiniform setae closing on four spiniform setae.
Pleopods 1 of female minute. Pleopod 2 endopod with abont twenty marginal lamellae distally and laterally: appendix interna 6 timés as long as wide; exopod with about twenty lamellae distolaterally. Pleopods 3-5 essencially similar to pleopod 2.
Uropodal endopod ovate, 1.6 times as lung as wide, with marginal row of seven long blade-like
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Fig. 21. - Michelea microphylla n.sp. A, left cheliped; B, right pereopod 2 and $\mathbf{C}$, propodus and dactylus; D, right pereopod 3; E, propodus and dactylus; $F$, right pereopod 4 ; $G$, propodus and dactylus; H , right pereopod 5 : I. fingers; J. juvenile of pleopod 1; K, pleopod 2. All figures from holotype.

## Remarks

This species is known from a single specimen in very poor condition. It is characterised by the rudimentary epipods and arthrobranchs and the absence of podobranchs. Gills are also reduced in M. Lamellosa Kenslcy et Heard from Jamaica but that species has only theec cpipods and a single rudimentary arthrobranch on thoracomere 7.
It is the only species in the family from truly temperate waters; $M$. hortus from sourh-western Australia is from a similar latitude but is from a rcgion with greater influence of tropical fauna.

## Michelea novaecaledoniae n.sp.

(Fig. 22)
Material examined. - New Caledonia. Ile Ouen, baie de Prony ( $22^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-166^{\circ} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), $37 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}$. Richer de Forges (ORSTOM, stn 114), MNHN Th-1215 (holotype, $9, \mathrm{cl} .5 .7 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{tl} .23 .5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). - Île Ouen,
baie de Prony ( $22^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-166^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 52 m , B. Richer de Forges (ORSTOM, stn 128), MNHN Th-1214 (paratype, 우, cl. $5.4 \mathrm{~mm}, ~$ l 1.24 .0 mm ).

Etymology. - For New Caledonia, rype locality.
Distribution. - New Caledonia, baie de Prony; $37-52 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

## Description

Cephalochorax 0.24 total length; rostrum flat, abour 0.4 length of eyestalks; cervical groove weakly defined, reaching 0.55 length of eephalorhorax; longitudinal setal-row level with lateral margin of eyestalk, of five setae; marginal setal-row of six setae at base of eyestalk; lateral setal-row of six setae.
Abdominal somite 1 with dorsolateral sctal-row of nine setac. Abdominal somite 2 with transverse setal-row of ten setae. Abdominal somites 3-5 with transverse setal-rows of five-six
setae. Abdominal somite 6 without marginal setal-row along edge of pleuron, oblique setal-row of about six setae, and transverse
setal-row of abour five+four setae in two groups. All abdominal somites with groups of long setae dorsally.


Fig. 22. - Michelea novaecaledoniae n.sp. A, cephalothorax and abdominal somites 1 and 2; B, cephalothorax; C, maxilliped 2; D, maxilliped 3; E, left cheliped; F, right pereopod 2; G, details of fingers; H, left pereopod 3; I, propodus and dactylus; J, left pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{K}$, propodus and dactylus; $\mathbf{L}$, i pleopod $1 ; \mathbf{M}, 9$ pleopod $2 ; \mathbf{N}$, telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.

Eyestalks slighty flatrened, cornea distolateral. Antenna I with elongate waisted article 1, about half as long as cephalothorax: arricles 2 and 3 subequal, each about one-sixth length of article 1. Antenna 2 with distinct articulating acicle, about 0.7 length of arucle 2 ; article 4 reaching to end of article 2 of antenna 1 ; arricle 5 short.
Maxilliped 1 epipod as in M. lewm. Maxilliped 2 exopod minute; epipod reduced. Maxilliped 3 ischium with obsolete crista dentata of ren blunt teeth; merus with mesial tooth; carpus-dactylus longer than ischium-merus, widest point of carpus 0.3 carpal length; exopod with flagellum reaching to middle of merus; epipod nartow, without podobranch.
Chelipeds equal; ischium with weak Jower tooth; merus with weak rooth on convex lower margin, upper margin strongly convex; carpus unarmed; propodus swollen proximally and tapering; fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus, its curting edge with obsolete teeth, curved apically; daetylus curved apically, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 essentially as in $M$. Vewra.
Pereopod 3 propodus 2.5 times as long as wide, with seven spiniforni setae on lower margin, two clusters of three spiniform setae distally on mesial face, and two transverse setal-rows of four and three setac; dactylus with two spiniform serae on upper-mesial margin.
Pereopod 4 propodus 3.6 times as long as wide, weakly aligned transverse mows of spiniform setae on mesial face, concentrated ncar margins and strongest on lower margin and distally, and rwo transverse setal-rows each of threc setac; dactylus with eight spiniform setac on upper-mesial margin.
Pleopod 1 of female of two short articles. Pleopod 1 of male unknown. Pleopod 2 endopod with four marginal lamellae proximolaterally; appendix interna 2.5 times as long as wide; exopod withour lamellae. Plcopods 3-5 essentially similar to plenpod 2, with four, seven. six lamellae respecrively:
Uropodal endopod broadly ovare, 1.4 times as long as wide, with minute apical tooth; exopod 1.3 times as long as wide, with blunt tooth on lateral margin and spiniform setae larerally and distally. 'Iclson about as long as wide, distally tapering to rounded apex.

Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | r | r | r | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | $f$ | $f$ | $f$ | $f$ | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

Epipods broader anteriorly than posteriorly; podobranchs filamentous ( f ).

## Remarks

The two specimens from New Caledonia are similar to M. barus from south-western Australia in the reduction of plcopodal lamellae. M. nowaecaledoniaz has four lancllac on pleopad 2 and has two lateral setal-rows (M. hortus has no lamellae and one lateral seral-row).

## Michelea paraleura $11 . \mathrm{sp}$. (Figs 23, 24)

Material examined. - Australia. Queensland, Holbourne kland ( $19^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ S - $148^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ E), AM P5574 (holorype, $9, \mathrm{cl} .6 .2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{tL} .26 .5 \mathrm{~mm}$, pararype of Callianidea leava Poore at Criftio, $1979=$ Midselea lenme). - Quecushand, Rib Ref ( $\left.18^{\circ} 28^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-146^{\circ} 52^{\circ} \mathrm{L}\right)$, reff tlar, 2 m . M. Riddle. May 1986, corer, NMV J22685 (paratype, हं, cl. 3.3 mm, tl. 13.8 mm ). Northern Territory, $W$ side of Qxley Island ( $11^{\circ} 00^{\circ} S-132^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), intertidal pools, G. C. B. Poore, 18.X.1982, NMV J27643 (1 specimen).

Etymology. - From para and the specific name leurd, to indicate the species' original confusion with Michelea leura (noun in apposition).

Distribution. - Northern Great Barrier Reef and coral island of Northern Territory, Australia; intertidal to 2 m depth.

## Description

Cephalothorax 0.24 total length; rostrum flat, about half length of eyestalks; cervical groove weakly defined, reaching 0.6 length of cephalothorax; longitudinal setal-sow level with lateral margin of eyestalk, of thirteen setae; marginal setal-row of seven setac at base of eyestalk; lareral setal-rows of seven and four secae,
Abdominal somite 1 with dorsolateral setal-row of five serae. Abdominal somite 2 four times as long as first; with transverse seral-row of twelve selac. Abdominal somites 3-5 with iransverse setal-rows of eight-ten setae. Abdominal somite 6


Fig. 23. - Michelea paraleura n.sp. A, habitus sketch; B, cephalothorax and abdominal somites 1 and 2; C, cephalothorax; D, maxilliped 3; E, right cheliped; $\mathbf{F}$, telson and uropod; $\mathbf{G}$, spiniform setae on margin of uropodal exopod; $\mathbf{H}$, spiniform setae on margin of uropodal endopod. All figures from holotype.
without marginal sctal-row along edge of pleuron, oblique setal-row of abour twelve setae, and transverse setal-row of about ten setae. All abdominal somires with groups of long setac dorsally. Eyestalks slightly flattened, cornea distal.
Antenna 1 with elongate waisted article 1 , abour half as long as cephalothorax; articles 2 and 3 subequal, each about one-sixth length of article 1 . Antenna 2 with distinct atticulating acicle, about 0.6 length of article 2 ; arricle 4 reaching to end of article 2 of antenna 1 ; article 5 short.
Maxilliped 1 cpipod as in M. Icura. Maxilliped 2 exopod minute; epipod reduced. Maxilliped 3 ischium with obsolete crista dentata of ten blunt teeth; merus with mesial tooth; carpus-dactylus longer than ischium-merus, widest point of car-
pus quarter carpal length; exopod with flagellum reaching beyond middle of merus; epipod narrow.
Chelipeds equal; ischium with weak lower tooth; merus with weak tooth on convex lower margin, upper margin strongly convex; carpus unarmed; propodus swollen proximally and tapering; fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus, its curting edge with obsolete reeth, curved apically: dacrylus curvect apically, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 essentially as in M. leura.
Pereopod 3 propodus twice as long as wide, with fout rows of one, wo, two and five spiniform serac on lower-mestal margin, and two cransverse setal-rows of five serae; dactylus with four spiniform setae on upper-mestial margin.
Pereopod 4 propodus 2.8 times as long as wide,


FIG. 24. - Michelea paraleura n.sp. A, right pereopod 2; B, details of fingers; C, right pereopod 3; D, right pereopod 4; E, © pleopod 1; F, if pleopod 2; G, f appendices interna and masculina. Figures E, G, from NMV J22685; others from holotype.
five transverse rows of two-six spiniform setae on lower-mesial margin, two setal-rows of four setae; dactylus with six spiniform setae on uppermesial margin.
Pleopod 1 of female of two short articles. Pleopod 1 of male with medial margin hearing hooks. Pleopod 2 endopod with seventy-seven marginal lamellae distally and laterally; male appendix interna 3 times as long as wide; appendix masculina twice as long as appendix interna; exopod with about twenty lateral lamellae. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2.
Uropodal endopod hroadly ovate, 1.5 rimes as long as wide, with minute apical rooth, medial margin with numerons blade-like setae; exopod 1.6 times as long as wide, with numerons spiniform setae laterally and distally. Telson about 0.8 times as long as wide, distally tapering to rounded apex.

Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eppod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | r | r | r | - |
| Podobranch | - | $f$ | f | f | f | - |  |  |
| Arthrobranch | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

Epipods broader anteriorly than posteriorly; podobranchs filamentous ( f ).

## Remarks

Michelea paraleura is distinguished from M. leura, with which it was originally confused, in body proportions (longer abdominal somites relative to cephalothorax), longer telson, and spiniform and blade-like setae on the uropodal rami.

Michelea pillsburyi Kensley et Heard, 1991
Michelea pillsburyi Kensley et Heard, 1991: 497. 522-524, figs 18, 19.

Distribution. - Caribbean coast of Panama; $28-59 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

Remarks
The species was well illustrated by the original authors and not re-examined.

Michelea vandoverae (Gore, 1987)
Callianidera nathdoverat Gore, 1987: 186-194, figs 1-4. Michelea vundorverue. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 496, 523-527, figs 20, 21.

Material examinen. - SW Caribbean Sea. $10^{\prime \prime} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-76^{\circ} 05^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 146-162 \mathrm{~m}, 28 . \mathrm{V} .1964$, Culf and South Atlantic Fisheries Exploration, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries (RV Oregon stn 4904), USNM 273140 ( 1 specimen).

Distribution. - Gulf of Mexico and Caribbcan Sea; 37-162 m depth.

## Remarks

This species has already been well described and figured. It differs from all others in the genus in the possession of a pleurobranch and the 2 -segmented nature of the pleopodal lamellae which attach to all margins of the rami.

Genus Tethisea Poore, 1994
Tethisea Poore, 1994: 99, 100.
Type species. - By original designation: Tethisea indica Poore, 1994.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum flat, well exceeding eyes, medially and laterally carinate and setose. Eyes not visible in dorsal view, Anterolateral cephalothorax with one vertical setal-row near cervical groove Abdominal somites 1 and 2 , with one lateral setal-row, abdominal somites $3-5$ with none, abdominal somite 6 with rwo converging setal-rows; abdominal somites $3-5$ with dense dorsal patches of plumose setae. Antenoa 1 peduncle article 1 moderately elongate. Scaphocerite more than half length of antenna 2 peduncle article 4. Maxilliped 1 exopod with setose flagellum. Maxilliped 3 witl crista dentata; merus with strong mesial row of setae; exopod very short. Pereopod I fixed finger with sharp curved tooth one-third way along; with thickened setae in gape. Pereopod 2 fixed finger with even contriguous spiniform setae; dactylus as long as fixed finger. Pereopods 3 and 4 withour lateral spiniform setae on propodus and dactylus.

Pereopod 4 carpus without distal ridge on upper margin: propodus with one cransverse setal-row. Pleopods 2-5 withour marginal lamellae. Uropodal endopod with anterolateral margin convex, ending by curving to rounded posterior margin. Uropodal exopod anterolateral margin ending squarely, brooder than endopod. Telson as broad as long, weakly constricted, distally truncate. Epipods with lamellate podobranchs well developed except on hast, Atthrobranchs well developed. Pleurobranchs 5-7 present.

Branchial formula ( $\mathbf{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomerc | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eppod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| Podobranch | - | - | r | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| Prthronach | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |  |
| Alturobranch | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |

## Compostion

T. indica Poore, 1994, T. mindoro n.sp.

## Remarks

Tethisea is most similar to Marcusiaxius and Meticonaxius in the possession of a rostrum and of pleurobranchs. The most obvious differences are the absence of setal-rows on abdominal somites 3 -5, the presence of specialised thickened setae in the gape of percopod 1, and the more ovate shape of the uropodal endopod. The genus is confined to two species in the Indian Ocean and tropical West Pacific.

## Tethisea indica Poore, 1994

(Figs 25, 26)
Tethisea indica Poore, 1994: 100.
Mati:kial examiseld. - Type matcrial.
Distribution. - Mozambique, La Réunion, Indonesia, New Caledonia: 165-460 m depth.

## Description

Cephalothorax 0.35 total length, about 1.2 times as deep as wide; rostrum triangular, slightly depressed distally, with dorsal setae along lateral carinae, I. 5 times as long as broad ar base of eyes, lateral margins convex such that eyes are
invisible from dorsal view, twice as long as eyestalks; lateral carinae extending on to cephalothorax, without medial carina; cervical gronve weakly defined; dorsoposterior margin a convex medial lohe, separated from posterolateral margins; one setal-row of three setae only.
Abdoninal somite I marrower than second, with anterolateral lohes overlying posterolateral margins of cephalothorax; pleuron with minute marginal spine; dorsolateral setal-row of seven setae. Abdominal somite 21.5 times as long as first, pleuron broadly overlapping first somite; transverse seral-row of abour seven setae. Aldominal somites 3-5 widhout setal-rows. Abdominal somite 6 with marginal setal-row of about twenty setac diverging anteriorly from edge of pleuron, and transverse setal-row of about ten setac. Eyestalks acute distally, comea distal.
Antenna 1 with article 1 shorter than rostrum; articles 2 and 3 subequal, each about half length of article 1: flagella earh of about ten articles, longer than peduncle. Antenna 2 with long acute articulating acicle, longer than article 2; article 4 reaching to middle of article 3 of antenna 1; article 5 short.
Mandible and maxillae as in Meticonaxius. Maxilliped I will endopod 0.6 leugrt of basal endite, exopod longer than endite, with a linear second article at right-angle to first, epipod lobies narrow, proximal lobe much longer. Maxilliped 2 exopod as long as cudopodal ischium: epipod small. Maxilliped 3 ischium with strong crista dentata of eight blunt teeth: merus wichout mesial tooth; ischium-merus with dense mesial rows of long secac; carpus-dactylus longer than ischium-merus, widest point of carpus quarter carpal length; exopod minute.
Chelipeds equal; ischium with distal spine on lower margin; merus with two spines on lower margin, upper margin strongly convex; carpus unarmed; propodus swollen proximally and tapering: fixed finger 0.3 length of propodus, its cutting edge sinuous; gape wide, with six long spiniform setae laterally; dactylus cutting edge curved distally; opposing fixed finger.
Pereopod 2 merus-propodus with lower marginal rows of long sen.ue; carpus half length of merus; propodus as long as carpus, with seral-row of three short setae; fixed finger cutting edge with
numerous contiguous spiniform setac; dactylus longer than fixed finger, straight.
Percopod 3 propodus 1.3 times as long as wide, upper margin slightly convex, lower margin convex, one oblique setal-row of six setae; dactylus broad.
Pereopod 4 propodus twice as long as wide, one oblique setal-row of four setae; dactylus broad. Pleopods 1 of male 2 -articled, second article 3 times as long as wide, with medial lobe
bearing about 9 minute hooks. Pleopods 1 of female 2 -articled. Pleopod 2 of male with appendix interna one-third length of endopod; appendix masculina rwice as long and broad as appendix inrerna; exopod twice as long as broad. Pleopod 2 of female endopod 3.5 times as long as wide; appendix interna 6 times as long as wide; exopod 2.5 times as long as wide, ovate. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2 of female.


Fig. 25. - Tethisea indica Poore. A, B, anterior cephalothorax; C, D, posterior cephalothorax, abdominal somites 1 and 2; E, $\delta$ pleopod 1; $\mathbf{F}$, detail of appendix interna; $\mathbf{G}$, क pleopod $2 ; \mathbf{H}_{1}$ of pleopod $2 ; I_{1}$ abdominai somite 6 , telson and uropod. Figures $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{G}$ from MNHN Th-1219; C, D from MNHN Th-1216; others from holotype.


FIG. 26. - Tethisea indica Poore. A, maxilla 2; B, maxilliped 2; C, maxilliped 3; D, left cheliped; E, details of setae in gape of fingers; F, left pereopod $2 ; \mathbf{G}$, propodus and dactylus; $\mathbf{H}$, left pereopod 3 ; $\mathbf{I}$, propodus and dactylus; $\mathbf{J}$, right pereopod 4 ; $\mathbf{K}$, propodus and dactylus. All figures from holotype.

Uropodal endopod with anterior margin convex, ending by curving to rounded postcrior margin, 1.3 times as long as wide; exopod with concave anterior margin, apically rounded, posterior margin broadly lobed, 1.7 times as long as wide. Telson as long as wide, tapering to rounded-
truncate apex beyond constriction one-third way along.

## Remarks

Tethisea indica was briefly diagnosed on the basis of thirteen specimens by Poore (1994). The spe-


Fig. 27. - Tethisea mindoro n.sp. A, B, cephalothorax; C, maxilliped 3; D, right chetiped; E, propodus and dactylus of pereopod 3; F, propodus and dactylus of pereopod 4; G, telson and uropod; $\mathbf{H}$, habitus; $\mathbf{I}$, of pleopod 2. Figures $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}$ from NMV J17915; others from holotype.
cies is distinguished from the only other in the genus by the acute rostrum and broader uropodal rami. The material comes from a wide geographic range in the Indo-Wcst Pacitic region, from easteru Africa to Ncw Calcdonia but there is little variation between the specimens.

## Tethisea mindoro n.sp.

(Fig. 27)
Materlal hamined. - Philippines. Wo Mindoro ( $122^{* 31.2 N}-120^{\prime \prime} 39.3 \mathrm{E}$ ), $92-97 \mathrm{~m}$, rectangular dredge, 3.V1.1985. (MUSORSTOM sin DR117), MNIH Th-1222 (holonyp; f, cl. 5.1 mm ).
Western Australia. Nonth-west Shelf, herween Port Hedland and Dampier ( $18^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-118^{\circ} 39^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 134 m . muddy sand, WIIOI epibenthic sled, G. C. B. Poore and H. M. Lew Ton (R. V. Sork, sin NWA 21), 4.VI.1983, NMV 117915 ( © , al. 5.0 nmm), NMV J 16607 ( $9, \mathrm{cl} .7 .1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), NMV J3648 ( 6, cl. 3.6 mm ), NMV 117216 ( 3 specimens, cl. 2.04.8 mm ), MNHN Th- 1.304 ( $\delta, \mathrm{cl}, 3.7 \mathrm{~mm}$; 早, cl 4.5 mm ).

Etymotogy. - For Mindoro, the type locality (noun in apposition).

Distribution. - Philippines, north-western Australia; 92-134 m depth.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum rounded, 1.3 times as long as broad at base of eyes; posterior setal-row of five setae only. Maxilliped 3 merus with mesial tooth; exopod absent.
Cheliped merus with one spine on lower margin. Uropodal endopod with convex anterior margin, apically rounded, posterior margin broadly lobed, 1.3 times as long as wide; exopod with anterior margin decply convex, ending by curving to rounded posterior margin, 1.5 times as long as greatest width. Telson as long as wide, tapering to rounded-truncate apex, constriction weak.

## Remarks

Tethisea mindora differs from T. indica in the broader less acute rostrum, more tapering uropodal exopod, more evenly curved dactylus of pereopod 1, and very short exopod on maxilliped 2. The male plcopods 1 and 2 are little narrower than in T. iudict but are of the same form. "There seem no significant differences between the holo-
type from the Philippines and the collection of non-type specimens from north-western Australia.

Family Thomassinidae de Saint Laurent, 1979
Thomassiniinae de Saint Laurent, 1979: 1396. - de Saint Laurent \& Le Loeuff 1979: 95. - Sakai 1992: 18.

Thomassiniidac. - Manning \& Felder 1991: 765. Poore 1994: 104.
Callianideidae. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 497, 498 (in part).

Thpe genus - Thomassinia de Saint Laurent, 1979.

## DIAGNOSTS

Firm-bodied or soft thalassinidean shrimps. Rostrum absent or present only as sharp spine. Linea thalassinica lateral to eyestalks, complete or incomplete. Cephalothorax usually broad, ending posteriorly as a median convexity not separate from posterolateral margins of carapace. Without anterolateral lohes on abdominal somite 1, anterodorsal tergite weak; no thickening of posterolateral carapace margins. Thoracomere 7 sternite broad and visible berween coxae as a tlat plate. Coxa 4 flatrened, immobile, wishout condylc with thoracomere 7. Abdominal somite 1 almost as long as abdominal somite 2 and with pleuron broadly rounded (rarcly acute). Abdominal somite 2 pleuron not overlapping abdominal somite ]. Cephalothorax, rostrum, abdomen, telson and all limbs without armarure. Anterior cephalothorax and at least abdominal somites 1 and 6 , sometimes others, with weak lateral setal-rows: lateral sutfaces of propodi of pereopods $2-4$ sometimes with similar setal-rows. Antenna I peduncle article I as long as 2 and 3 . Antenna 2 with scaphocerite minure, barely arriculating. Mandibular incisor toothed anteriorly and posteriorly, symmerrical. Maxilla 2 scaphognachite with one long seta extending into branchial chamber. Maxilliped 3 pediform, somerimes specialised: exopod present or absent. Percopods 1 unequal: merus ovate, with convex posterior margin; proximal part of propodus broad (about as long as wide); fingers shorter
than proximal part. Percopod 2 chelate. Pereopods $2-4$ with flartened propodi. Percopods 3 and 4 propodi (or at least 3) bearing single distal spiniform seta on lower margin. Epipods linear, reduced anteriorly; podobranchs rudimentary or absent; arthrobranchs various. present, reduced or lost; pleurobranchs absent. Male pleopod 1 absent. Appendix masculina fused to appendix interna (rarely separate). Pleopod 2 not modified, similar to pleopods 3-5; all foliaceous and with well developed appendix interna. Uropodal exopod without suture; endopod more or less ovate.

## Composition

Crosniera Kensley at Heard, 1991; Mictaxius Kensley et Heard, 1991; Thomassinia de Saint Laurent, 1979.

## Remarks

The Thomassiniinae were originally described on the basis of a single species as a subfamily of the Callianassidae (de Saint Laurent 1979) and
implicitly elevated to family level by Manning \& Felder (1991) in a review of American callianassid and crenochelid genera. The Callianassidae s.s. as defined by Manning \& Felder (1991) are characterised by pleopods 1 and 2 being sexwally modified and pleopods $3-5$ being broadened and similar. The Thomassiniidae differ in that pleopod 2 is not different from those that follow, and in having the linear thalassinicae clase together in such a way that there are no ocular lobes as in true callianassids. Further, the maxilla 2 scaphognathite bears a long posterior seta, absent from the callianassids, and there are usually pereopodal epipods present.
The three genera were included in Callianideidae as a single clade by Kensley \& Heard (1991) and grouped as a family-level taxon by Sakai (1992). Poore (1994) showed that Thomassiniidae were the sister-taxon of Callianideidae and their similarity to Micheleidae more remote. Thomassiniidae and Callianideidae togerher were shown to be the sister-taxon of Callianassidae and Ctenochelidae.

Key to genera of Thomassinildae.

1. Maxilliped 3 with brush of stiff setae on ischium and merus; uropodal endopod witly oblique transverse row of spiniform setae .................................... Thomassinia

- Maxilliped 3 without brush of stiff setae on ischium and merus; uropodal endopod withour oblique transverse row of spiuiform setae 2

2. Rostrum spike-like; maxillipedal 3 exopod as long as merus $\qquad$ Crosniera

- Rostrum obsolete, maxillipedal 3 exopod vestigial or absent Mictaxius

Genus Crosniera Kensley et Heard, 1991
Crosniera Kensley et Heard, 1991: 500, 501.
Type species, - By original designation: Callianassa minima Rathbun, 1901.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum spike-like. Linea thalassinica incomplete. Eyestalk flattened. Antenna 1 scaphocerite minute and articulating. Maxilliped 1 exopod flagellate. Maxilliped 3: exopod as long as merus;
ischium and merus withour brush of stiff setac. Pereopods 1 dissimilar. Percopod 2 with moderately broad propodus. Pereopods 2-4 without setal-rows; abdominal somite 1 usually with setal-row; somices $2-5$ wirh or withour setal-rows; abdominal somite 6 rypically with three setal-rows. Uropodal endopod without transverse row of short spiniform serae; exopod simply ovate. Male pleopod 1 present. Appendix masculina and appendix interna separate and elongate or fused; appendix masculina with stiff setae.

Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | $r$ | $r$ | r | r | r-0 | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## COMPOSITION

C. corindon n.sp.; C. minima (Rathbun, 1901); C. panie n.sp.

## Remarks

The diagnosis of Kensley \& Heard (1991) does not contradict that given here but it does include characters which are now considered of family status or variable. The spike-like rostrum is the mose obvious diagnostic character. The genus is most easily distinguished from rostrate callianassids with which it might superficially be confused by the presence of an incomplete linea thalassinica beginning at the base of the eyestalks, absence of ocular lobes between the linea and the rosrrum, similarity of pleopod 2 to pleopods 3-5, and the well developed appendices interna and masculina.
Crosniert is assigned to the Thomassiniidae rather than to the Callianideidac or Meticonaxiidac on the basis of the possession of a linea thalassinica commencing anteriorly near the eyestalks, broad asymmetrical chelipeds, and a single spiniform seta on the propodus of perenpod 3 of some species.
Two additional species belonging to this genus are herein described: C. panie n.sp. is very similar to the type species and C. corindon $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{sp}$. is more remotely related. Two orher specimens in poor condition are described as possible members of the genus.

Crosniera corindon n.sp.
(Figs 28, 29A-H)
Material examined. - Indonesia. Makassar Strait ( $\left.0^{\circ} 14.9^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-117^{\circ} 51.7^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right), 150 \mathrm{~m}$, Okean grab (CORINDON 2, $\sin 207$ ), 31.X.1980, MNHN Th-1217 (holotype, $7, \mathrm{cl} .4 .5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{tl} .14 .8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Etymology. - For the joint French-Indonesian CORINDON cruises in Indonesia.

Distribution. - Indonesia; 150 m depth.

## Descrimiton

Roscrum slender, acute, reaching as long as eyes. Cephalothorax withour mid-dorsal keel; linea thalassinica reaching posteriorly for half of cephalothurax length; without setal-row near anterior margin; cervical groove absent. Abdominal somite 1 without pleuron; setal-row of two setae; somites 3-5 with setal-rows of $c a$. five, five and ten setae; somite 6 withont setal-rows, inarmed. Eyestalk flatrened, with convex lareral margin, comea wak. Anrenna 1 with peduncle article 3 reaching beyond distal margin of antenna 2 article 4; scaphocesite an acutely-ripped scale. Epistome not setose. Mouthparts essentially as in C. minimu. Maxilliped 3 ischium with wèakly dentare ridge mesially neerus with one small rooth on lower margin, dactylus narrowly ovate, exopod not reaching distal margin of ischium.
Pereopods 1 dissimilar, right larger on holotype. Larger cheliped ischium and merus unarmed; propodus carinate along upper and lower margins; fixed finger one-third length of whole propodus, with lateral tooth at about one-third of cutting edge; dactylus unarmed. Smaller cheliped fixed finger and dactylus more elongare. Percopods 2 and 3 much broader than in C. minima. Pereopod 3 propodus with distal spiniform seta on lower margin. Pereopod 4 unknown. Pereopod 5 subchelate, dactylus much longer than fixed finger.
Thoracic sternite 7 broad, coxac of pereopods 4 flattened and widely separate.
Pleopod 1 of female geniculate, 2-articulate. Pleopod 2 with short appendix interna. Pleopods of male unknown.
Uropodal rami marginally setose; endopod 1.5 times as long as wide, ovate; exopod 1.5 times as long as wide, irregularly ovate. Telson 0.8 times as long as wide, unarmed, tapering over distal two-thirds to rounded apex.

## Remarks

This specimen is placed in Crosniert on the basis of the general habitus, eyes, spine-like rostrum, pleopods, coxac 4, thoracic sternite 7. scaphocerite, telson and the broad larger cheliped. The main differences from the type species are the numher of setal-rows on the cephalothorax. dactylus of pereopod 5 and the smaller cheliped.

Crosniera minima (Rathbun, 1901)

Callianassa minima Rathbun, 1901: 92, Fig. 16. Schmitt 1935: 5. - Biffar 1971: 651. - de Saint Laurent 1979: 1396. - de Saint Laurent \& le Loeuff 1979: 95. - Manning 1987: 397. - Manning \& Felder 1991: 765.

Crosniera minima. - Kensley \& Heard 1991: 496, 503-506, fies 5. 6. - Blanco Rambla \& Liñero Arana 1994: 16-18, fig. !.
Material fixamined. - Puerto Rico. Mayaguez Harbour, $45-35 \mathrm{~m}$, U. S. Fisheries Commission (Fish Hawk, stn 6062), USNM 24668 (syntype, 오, d. 2.5 mm ).


Fig. 28. - Crosniera corindon n.sp. A, habitus; B, C, anterior cephalothorax; D, right cheliped and E, fingers: $F$, fingers of left cheliped; $\mathbf{G}$, sternum and coxa of pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{H}$, abdominal somite $1 ; \mathbf{I}$, abdominal somite 6 , telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.

Distribution. - Puerto Rico, Alabama, Venezucla; $35-75 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

## Remarks

The numerous syntypes from Puerto Rico and off Alabama were listed by Kensley \& Heard (1991) who described and figured this species in detail. Blanco Rambla \& Liñero Arana (1994) recorded the species from Venezuela. The species is distinguished from C. panie by the weaker but more numerous spines on the telson, weaker dentition of smaller cheliped, separation of appendices inrerna and masculina, narrower pereopods, presence of setid-rows on abdominal somites 2-5, 2-articled pleopod 1, and the presence of propodal spines on pereopods 3 and 4.

## Crosniera panie n.sp. <br> (Figs 29I-N, 30)

Material examined. - New Caledonia. East Lagoon, near Mt Panié ( $20^{\circ} 33.25^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-164^{\circ} 49.3^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), $40 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}$. Richer de louges (ORSTOM, stn 0877), 13.1.1987, MNHN Th-1218 (holotype, of. d. $2.8 \mathrm{~mm}, ~(1,8.5 \mathrm{~mm})$.

Etrmology. - Mont Panié is the highest mountain in New Caledonia, very near the rype locality (nomn in apposition).

Distribution. - New Caledonia; 40 m depth.

## Description

Rostrum slender, acute, reaching just beyond eyes. Cephalothorax with faint mid-dorsal keel on posterior third; linea thalassinica reaching posteriorly for two-thirds of cephalothorax length; vertical setal-row of eleven serae near anterior margin; cervical groove very weak. Abdominal somite 1 with acute pleuron; setal-row of fifteen setae; somites $2-5$ without setal-rows; somite 6 with three setal-rows and with small laterally-directed hook on lower margin.
Eyestalk flattened, with convex lateral margin, cornea weak. Antenna 1 with peduncle article 3 reaching distal margin of antenna 2 article 4 : scaphocerite reduced to small scale. Epistome setose. Mouthparts essentially as in C. minima. Maxilliped 3 ischium with row of spines mesially, merus with two small teeth on lower margin, exopod not reaching distal margin of ischium.

Pereopods 1 dissimilar, left wider and longer than right. Larger cheliped ischium with two spines on lower margin; merus unarmed; propodus carinate along upper and lower margins; fixed finger one-third length of whole propodus, with tooth at about one-third of cutting edge; dactylus with irregular cutting edge. Smaller cheliped ischium with two spines; serus unarmed; propodus carinate; fixed finger with proximal tooth: dactylus widely gaping, narrow, evenly curved, unarmed. Pereopods 2 and 3 similar but slightly bouder than in C. mimima. Percopod 3 propodus with distal spiniform seta on lower margin. Pereopod 4 propodus without distal spiniform seta on lower margin. Pereopod 5 chelate. Thoracic sternite 7 broad, coxae of pereopods 4 flatened and widely separate.
Pleopods of female unknown. Pleopod 1 of male a simple curved cylindrical article. Pleopod 2 of male with appendix masculina fused to appendix interna, represented only by long stiff setae.
Uropodal rami marginally setose; endopod with anterior margin straight and ending in sharp tooth; exopod subcircular, little longer than wide. Felsou as long as wide, with one strong lareral tooth, distal margin narrowly convex.

## Remarks

The very similar species, C. mimima, was described and figured in detail by Kensley \& Heard (1991). Differences were nored above. One difference is more profound than the others, i.e., the pleopod 1 of the male of the new species is simpler, and pleopod 2 has the appendices masculina and interna fused. This might be because the single specimen is a juvenile male rather than full-grown but so little is know of growth stages that this hypothesis cannot be tested.
A rudimentary podobranch on pereopod 4 was noted. This was reported as missing in C. minima by Kensley \& Heard but may have been overlooked.

## Crosnievasp. I

(Fig. 31)
Materlal examineit. - Indonesia. Sulu Archipelago ( $04^{\circ} 38.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-119^{\circ} 49.43^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) , 2570 m (ESTASE sin CP6), 5.XII.1984, MNHN Th-1225 (ó, cl. 5.7 mm , without pereopods).


Fig. 29. - Crosniera corindon n.sp. A, maxilliped 2; B, maxilliped 3; C, left pereopod 2; D, right pereapod 3; E, right pereopod 5; $F$, details of fingers; G, of pleopod $1 ; \mathbf{H}$, o pleopod 2 . All tigures from holotype. Crosniera panie n.sp. I, right pereopod 2; J, right pereopod $3 ; \mathbf{K}$, left pereopod $4 ; \mathbf{L}$, left pereopod $5 ; \mathbf{M}$, $\delta$ pleopod $1 ; \mathbf{N}$, ó pleopod $2 ; \mathbf{O}$, fused appendices interna and masculina. All figures from holotype.


Fig. 30. - Crosniera panie n.sp. A, habitus; B, anterior cephalothorax; C, cephalothorax and abdominal somite 1; D, abdominal somite 1; E, maxilla 1; F, maxilla 2; G, maxilliped 2 ; $\mathbf{H}$, maxilliped 3 ; $\mathbf{I}$, left cheliped; $J$, right cheliped; $K$, sternum and coxae of pereopods 4 and $5 ; \mathrm{L}$, telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.


Fig. 31. - Crosniera sp. 1. A, cephalothorax and abdominal somite 1; B, anterior cephalothorax; C, telson and uropod. All figures from MNHN Th-1225.

## Descripition

Rostrum broadly triangulat, faintly carinate, reaching as far as cyes. Cephalothorax without middorsal keel on posterior third; linea thalassinica very short, about one-tenth of cephalothorax length; vertical setal-row of fourteen setae near anterior margin, another of seven more posteriorly; cervical groove very weak. Abdominal somite 1 with pleuron weak, somite 2 with weakly overlapping pleuton and weak condyle; somites $1-5$ without setal-rows; somite 6 with longitudinal setal-row.
Eyestalk flattened, tapering to distomesial lobe, cornea unpigmented. Antenna 1 with peduncle article 3 not reaching distal margin of antenna 2 article 4; scaphocetite a minute acutely-tipped scale. Epistome not setose. Mouthpatts essentially as in C. minima. Maxillipeds 2 and 3 ischium exopods well developed.

Pereopods unknown.
Thoracic sternite 7 broad, with anteriorly ditecred hooks laterally, coxac of pereopods 4 flattened, with anterior hooks, and widely separate.
Pleopods of fémale unknown. Pleopod 1 of male a simple article. Pleopod 2 of male with appendix masculina and appendix interna fused at base, (as in C. minima).
Uropodal rami marginally setose; endopod 1.4 times as long as greatest width; exopod little longer than wide. Telson rectangular, 1.2 times as long as wide, with distal margin convex.

Branchial formula:

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## Remarks

The cephalothorax displays the rostrum (a little broader than in the other species), setal-row, cye, scaphocerite, and general shape of typical Crosniera. The linea thalassinica is in a similar position bur does nor extend far beyond the anterior margin. The male appendix interna and appendix masculina are the same as in C. minima. The telson is broader than in the named species of Crasniera and the epipods and podobranchs are betuer developed. These appears not to be a setal-row on abdominat somite 1. The generic placement of this spocimen is tentative until the pereopods can be describicd but ir seems best placed in Crosniera for the time being. The specimen comes from 2570 m depth, much deeper than for any other thomassiniid.

## Crosniera sp. 2

Material examined. - Mexico. Angeles Bay, Gulf of California, 4 m (AHF stn 539.36), 3.III.1936, L.ACM ( $\delta^{*}$ in very poor condition, cl. 3.3 mm ).

## Remarks

The general habitus is similar to that of typical species of Crosniera in the possession of a spikelike rostrum, linea thalassinica close to eyestalks (short in this case), broad cheliped similar to the smaller one of $C$. mimima and maxilliped 3 similar to $C$. minima. The propodi of pereopods 3 and 4 are slightly broadened (nor lobate as in callianassids) and with distal spiniform setae. This is the only species with more than one distal spiniform seta on these propodi. The telson is rectangular rather than rapering, as in Crosniera sp. 1 and lacks the lateral spines seen in the type species.
The poor condition of the single specimen does not allow it to be described and its placement in Crosniera is tentative.

## Genus Mictaxius Kensley et Heard, 1991

Mictaxius Kensley et Heard, 1991: 527.
Type species. - By original designation and monotypy: Mictaxius thalassicola Kensley et Heard, 1991.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum obsolete. Linea thalassinica usually completc. Eyestalks moderately flattened, cornea present. Antenna 1 scaphocerite minute and articulating. Maxilliped 1 exopod of one article. Maxilliped 3: exopod reduced or absent; ischium and merus without brush of stiff setac. Percopods 1 dissimilar. Percopods $2-4$ with seral-rows, percopod 3 propodus very broad; abdominal somites $1-5$ with scral-rows; abdominal somite 6 wihl thre setal-rows. Uropodal endopod withour transverse row of short spiniform sctac; exopod apically bilobed or twisted. Pleopod 1 of malc absent.. Appendices inrema and masculina fused, appendix masculina with stiff setae.

Branchial formula ( $\mathbf{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epipod | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | r | - |
| Podobranch | - | - | r | r | r | r | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## COMPOSITION

M. arno n.sp.; M. thalassicola Kensley et Heard, 1991.

## Remarks

The new diagnosis differs from that of Kensley \& Heard (1991) in the omission of family characters. lis species appear superficially like callianassids but are distinguished by the form of the linea thalassinica, absence of ocular lobes at the front of the cephalothorax, undifferentiated pleopod 2, the well developed appendix interna and fused appendix masculina.
The genus resembles Crosniera in the form of pleopods, antennae and thoracic sternite and coxae. Its differences from this genus are slight: the linea thalassinica is usually complete, the cornea is better developed, the uropodal exapod is twisted, the maxillipedal 3 exopod is absent, percopods $2-4$ are very broad and percopod 5 is non-chelate, and the male pleopod 1 is absent, Kensley \& Heard (1991) reporred the uropodal exopod of $M$. thalassicola as "bilobed", implying similarity to callianassids. In the very similar species, M. arno, seen by me, the exopod is nwisted so that the marginal setae are not in a single row, a situation different from that in callianassids.

Mictaxius amo n.sp.
(Figs 32, 33A-G)
Material tixamined. - Marshall Islands. Arno Atoll, SE of Arno lagoon, $36 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}$. W. Wells, 22.VII. 1950 (USNM acc. No. 19015), USNM 95570 (holotype, © , cl. 4.1 mm , tl. 14.5 mm ).

Etymology. - For Arno Atoll, type locality (noun in apposition).

Distrikuiton. - Marshall Islands; 36 m depth.

## Description

Cephalothorax with a short triangular rostrum. Linea thalassinica running full length of cephalothorax: antennal angle rounded; anterior setal-row of ten setae; cervical groove weakly marked. Abdominal somite 1 with triangular pleuron, with setal-row of six setae; somite 2


Fig. 32. - Mictaxius arno n.sp. A, habitus; B, C, anterior cephalothorax; D, left cheliped; E, propodus and dactylus of left cheliped; F, sternum, coxae of pereopods 3-5, abdominal somite 1; G, telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.
with broad pleuron; somites $2-5$ without setal-rows; somite 6 with short setal-row.
Eyestalk tapering to narrowly rounded mediodistal apex, cornca moderately pigmented, distal to midlength. Antenna 1 with peduncle article 3 longess. Antenna 2 peduncle as long as first; scaphocerite a small mobile scmicircular scale. Epistome serose. Mouthpatts as in M. thalassicnla. Maxilliped 2 with well developed exopod. Maxilliped 3 ischium without ctista dentata; ischium and merus unarmed; carpus-dactylus broad, as long as ischinm-merus; exopod a small digitiform process.
Larger cheliped with ischium lower margin finely serrate; caspus with strongly convex lower margin; propodas flat, fixed finger 0.8 length of palm, with weak distal tooth on cutting edge; dactylus rapering. Smaller cheliped unknown. Pereopod 2 with setal-row of six serae on propodus. Pereopod 3 propodus about as long as wide, with one distal spiniform seta on lower margin, with setal-row of four setae. Pereopod 4 propodus 2.2 times as long as wide, with one distal spiniform seta on lower margin, with setal-row of four setae. Pereopod 5 not chelate, dactylus longer than fixed finger. Thoracic sternite 7 broad, coxac of pereopods 4 rounded and separare. Pleopod 1 of male absent. Ileopod 2 of male with appendices masculina and interna rorally fused, with three terminal stiff setae and apical hooks. Uropodal endopod with more or less parallel sides, 1.4 times as long as wide, apex obrusely angled, serose; exopod as wide as long, rwisted so that rows of marginal spiniform setac overlap. 'Iclson as long as basal width, tapering to narrow rounded apex.
Branchial formula typical except for rudimentary arthropod 2 and poorly developed podobranchs and epipods.

## Remarks

The new species differs from M. thalassicola in the presence of a rudimentary arthrobranch 2 , poorly developed podobranchs and cpipods, longer and less rounded telson, uropodal exopod rwisted rather than norched, endopod squarer, fewer setal-rows, and broader pereopods.

## Mictaxius thalassicola

Kensley et Heard, 1991
Mictaxius thalassicola Kensley et Heard 1991: 497, 527-530, figs 22-24.

Distribution. - Aclantic coast of Panama; shallow water.

## Rf.marks

No material of this species was examined but the presence of a linea thalassinica, the form of the chelipeds and numerous other characters require that it and the genus of which it is type species be placed in the Thomassiniidae rather than any other family.

## cf. Mictaxius sp. I <br> (Figs 33H-j)

Material examined. - Tahiti. Moorea I., Tiahura ( $17^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-149^{\circ} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ), B. A. Thomassin (sm Tia 23), MNHN Th-1302 (oे without percopods, cl. 2.2 mm , tl .8 .0 mm ; juvenile $\$$ without pereopods, cl. 2.0 mm , cl. 7.2 mm ).

## Description

Cephalorhorax 0.28 total length, about as deep as wide; rostrum a broadly hased triangle, cervical groove visible only as transverse groove at 0.6 length of cephalorhorax; linea thalassinica beginning ar lateral margin of eyes, lougirudinal and reaching cervical groove; dorsoposterior margin excavate, continuous with posterolateral margins; without sctal-rows.
Abdominal somite 1 narrower than second, without anterolateral lobes, pleuron broadly rounded, wirhour dorsolateral setal-rows. Abdominal somite 2 abour as long as firss, pleuron overlapping first somite. Abdominal somites 2-6 flattened, without setal-rows.
Eyestalks slightly flatrened, adpressed, acute distoventrally, cornea distolateral.
Antenna 1 with article 1 shorter than eyestalk; article 2 shorrer, 3 subequal to 1 : flagella each of about eleven articles, longer than peduncle. Antenna 2 with minute acicle; article 4 reaching just beyond article 2 of antenna 1 ; article 5 half as long as 4.
Pleopod 1 of male absent. Pleopods 1 of juvenile female minute. Pleopod 2 endopod elongare-


Fig. 33. - Mictaxius arno n.sp. A, maxilliped 3; B, right pereopod 2; C, right pereopod 3; D, left pereopod 4; E, right pereopod 5; F, pleopod 2; G, appendices interna and masculina. All figures from holotype. cf. Mictaxius sp . 1. H, habitus; I, anterior cephalothorax; J, abdominal somite 6, telson and uropod. All figures from MNHN Th-1302.
triangular, appendix interna 5 times as long as wide; appendix masculina represented by a ridge on anterior face of appendix interna; exopod 3 times as long as wide, triangular. Plcopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2 .
Utopodal endopod ovate, 1.5 times as wide as long; exopod ovate, 1.5 times as long as wide, both setose. Telson length 0.8 times width, evenly tapering to rounded apex.

Branchial formula ( $r=$ rudimentary ):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eppod | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Podobranch | - | 1 | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | - |  |  |
| Arthrobranch | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |  |

## Remarks

These specimens have a similar habitus, rostrum, eyestalk, antennae and telson to the two known species of Mictaxius bur differ in several features. The linea thalassinica is incomplete, the uropodal exopod is not twisted or bilobed, and there are fewer arthrobrancls. While the species is represented by such incomplete specimens, its generic placement is uncertain.
These specimens were collected with Thomassinia moorea 11.sp.

Genus Thomassinia de Saint Laurent, 1979
Thomassinia de Saint Laurent, 1979: 1396. Kensley \& Heard I991: 528, 529.

Type species. - By original designation: Thomassinia gebioides de Saint Laurent, 1979.

## Diagnosis

Rostrum obsolete. Linea thalassinica almost complete. Eyestalks moderately flattened, contiguous, cornea distal. Antenna 1 scaphocerite absent. Maxilliped 1 exopod of one article. Maxilliped 3: exopod absent; ischium and merus with brush of stiff setae. Pereopods 1 unequal. Pereopod 3 propodus very broad. P'ereopods 2-4 without setal-rows; abdominal somire 1 with setal-row; abdominal somires $2-5$ withour setal-rows; abdominal somite 6 with three setal-rows. Uropodal endopod with transverse
row of short spiniform setae; exopod ovate, not bilobed. Appendices masculina and interna fused, appendix masculina without stiff setae.

## Composition

T. aimsae n.sp.; T. gebioides de Saint Laurent, 1979; T. moorea n.sp.

## Remarks

This diagnosis of Thomassinia is considerably expanded and modified over that of de Saint Laurent (1979). For a general habitus of the genus see figure 34 of 7 . aimsac but the type species is illustrated in greatest detail. The genus is most easily recognised by the unique form of maxilliped 3. which bears a thick brush of staight stiff setac on the merus and ischium. It was originally placed in iss own subfamily with in the Callianassidae bur differs from members of this family in several ways. The form of the linea thalassinica, close to the base of the cyestalks, absence of ocular lobe, homogencity of pleopods 2-5, and presence of epipods separate this genus (and others in the family) from the Callianassidac.

## Thomassinia aimsae n.sp.

(Figs 34, 35)
Material. examinid. - Australia. Queensland, Davies Reef ( $18^{\circ} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-147^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 5 m , (AIMS site 2), NMV 321766 (holonype. \&f, cl. 4.0 mm , ti. 19 mm ).

Etemology. - For AIMS, the Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland, which collected the only specimen.
Distribution. - Great Barricer Reef, Australia; 5 m depth.

## Description

Cephalothorax 0.2 toral length, about as deep as wide; rostrum very weak, cervical groove visible only as transverse groove ar 0.7 length of cephalothorax; linea thalassinica beginning ar lateral margin of eyes, longirudinal and reaching twothirds along cephalorhorax: dorsoposterior margin excavate, continuous wirh posterolateral margins; submarginal vertical setal-row of abour twenty-five setae.


FIG. 34. - Thomassinia aimsae n.sp. A, habitus; B, cephalothorax; C, setal-row on anterior of cephalothorax; D, anterior cephalothorax; E, sternum, coxae and bases of peropods $1-5$, maxillipeds 3 ; $F$, maxilliped $3 ; G$, coxa and basis of maxilliped 3 ; $H$, telson and uropod; $\mathbf{I}$, spiniform setae on uropodal endopod; $\mathbf{J}$, right view of abdominal somite 6 . All figures from holotype.

Abdominal somite 1 with dorsolateral setal-rows of five serae. Abdominal somites as in T. gebioides. Abdominal somite 6 with marginal setal-row of abour thirty setae, oblique row of
thirty and transverse row of five setae.
Eyestalks flattened, contiguous, acute mediodistally, cornea distal, weak.
Antenna 1 with article 1 as long as eyestalk;


Fig. 35. - Thomassinia aimsae n.sp. A, left smaller cheliped; B, fingers; $\mathbf{C}$, left pereopod 2; D, left pereopod 3; E, left pereopod 4; F, left pereopod 5 ; G, H, detail of fingers of pereopod 5 ; I, 9 pleopod 2. All figures from holotype.
article 2 shorter, 3 subequal to 1 ; flagetla each of twelve-fifteen articles, as long as peduncle. Antenna 2 without scaphoccrite; article 4 reaching just beyond article 2 of antenna 1; article 5 half as long as 4; flagellum about as long as peduncle. Epistome with long setae.
Mouthparts as in T. gebrivides except maxilliped 3 ischium with crista dentata of 5 minute teeth.
Only smaller cheliped known: ischium with weak lower tooth; merus 1.5 times as long as wide, with strongly convex lower margin, upper margin weakly convex: carpus unarmed: propodus broad, width half length; fixed finger half length of propodus, its curting edge with obsolete tooth midway; dactylus cuting edge smooth, curved distally, equal to fixed finger.
Pereopods 2-5 similar to T. gelioides but propodus of 3 almost as wide as long and dactylus of 5 closing on eight spiniform setae.
Pleopods of male unknown. Pleopods of female as in T. gebioides.
Uropodal endopod triangular with broadly rounded lateral and mesial corners, with a transverse row of nine spiniform setae on anterolateral corner; exopod with anterolateral corner weakly produced, with dense marginal setae distally. Telson length 0.75 times width, evenly tapering to truncate apex.

Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | - | r | r | r | r | r | - | - |
| Podobranch | - | r | r | r | r | - | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## Remarks

There are only small differences berween rhis, an Australian species, and the rype species from Madagascar. This specimen is notable for the possession of minute denticles indicating a very weak crista dentata on maxilliped 3 . The single cheliped is assumed to be the smaller on the basis of comparison with ocher species. Figure 34E shows the ventral arrangement of the limbs, in particular the separation of the coxae of pereopods 4 and the attitude of the brush of maxillipeds 3.
T. aimsae is the only species in the genus in
which pereopod 3 lacks a podobranch. The linea thalassinica is very indistinet posterior to the cervical groove.
The specimen was well preserved before dissection and exhibited the solid connecuion berween the ceplatothorax and abdomen. Only slight articulation seems possible here and the animal seems designed to walk about the surface of the sediment cleaning detritus with the maxillipedal brush. Pereopod 5 is compact and can be held against a lateral concavity on abdominal somite 1 .

Thomassinia gebioides de Saint Laurent, 1979 (Figs 36, 37)

Thomassinit gebioides de Saint Laurent, 1979: 1396.
Material examined. - Madagascar. Tuléar, B. A. Thomassin. MNHN l'h-819 (holotype, 6 . tl. 8 mm ): MNHN Th-818, wich slide of figured specimen ( 12 paratypes of which 19 and a pair of first chelipeds are figured); NMV 134097 (9 roporypes).

## Description

Cephalothorax 0.2 total length, about as deep as wide; rostrum almost non-existent, cervical groove visible only as transverse groove at 0.6 length of cephalothorax: linea thalassinica beginning at lateral margin of eyes, longitudinal and reaching three-quarters along ceplalothorax; dorsoposterior margin excavate, concinuous with posterolateral margins; submarginal vertical setal-row of six setae.
Abdominal somite 1 natrow, waisted to accept folded percopod 5 latetally, depressed ánteriorly, without anterolateral lobes; pleuron broadly rounded; dorsolateral setal-rows of five setae. Abdominal somite 2 about as long as first, pleuron not overlapping first somite. Abdominal somites 2-5 flattened, with group of long seate on posrerior margin of pleuron, without setal-rows. Abdominal somite 6 with marginal setal-row of about forty setac, oblique row of thisty and transverse row of five setace.
Eyestalks slightly flattened, adpressed, acure distoventrally, cornea distal, ommatidia scatrered.
Ancenna 1 with article 1 shorter than eyestalk; article 2 shorter, 3 subequal to 1 ; flagella each of twelve-fifteen articles, longer than pedincle. Antenna 2 without scaphocerite; article 4 rea-
ching just beyond article 2 of antenna 1 ; article 5 half as long as 4 ; flagellum about as long as peduncle. Epistome with long setae.

Mandible incisor process with irregularly toothed cutting edge. Maxilla 2 endopod shorter than most distal endite; scaphognathite with one long


Fig. 36. - Thomassinia gebioides de Saint Laurent, 1979. A, cephalothorax and abdominal somites 1 and 2; B, cephalothorax; C, antenna ; D, detail of tip of flagellum; E, left larger cheliped; $\mathbf{F}$, left smaller cheliped; $\mathbf{G}$, left pereopod $2 ; \mathbf{H}$, tip of dactylus; I, right pereopod 3; J, spiniform seta on propodus; K, left pereopod 4; L, right pereopod 5 ; M, detail of fingers; $\mathbf{N}$, telson and uropod. Figures $A$ D, F, N from holotype; others from MNHN Th-818.
posteriorly-directed seta. Maxilliped 1 with endopod 2 -arricled, as long as basal endice, exopod broad, longer than endite, epipod with small narrow dissal lobe and much longer proximal lobe. Maxilliped 2 exopod as long as endopodal merus; epipod broad, with podobranch. Maxilliped 3 ischium without crista dentata; merus about same length as ischium, without
mesial tooth; ischium-merus together broad, with dense brush of stiff setae along ischium and proximally on merus, each apically square rather than tapered; tapering setae elsewhere on all articles; carpus-dactylus about as long as ischium-merus, of similar width throughout; exopod absent; rudimentary epipod with rudimentary podobranch.


Fig. 37. - Thomassinia gebioides de Saint Laurent, 1979. A, mandible; B, maxilla 1; C, detail of tip of endite; D, maxilla 2; E, maxilliped 1 ; $F$, its endopod; $\mathbf{G}$, maxilliped $2 ; \mathrm{H}$, maxilliped $3 ; \mathrm{I}$, 9 pleopod $1 ; \mathrm{J}, \circ$ pleopod $2 ; \mathrm{K}$, of appendices interna and masculina of pleopod 2. All figures from MNHN Th-818.

Chelipeds barely unequal. Larger cheliped ischium with wak lower tooth; merus 1.3 times as long as wide, with strongly convex lower margin, upper margin wcakly convex; carpus unarmed; propodus broad, width 0.6 length; fixed finger third length of propodus, its cuating edge with obsolete tooth on proximal half, dactylus cutting edge with proximal tooth, curved distally, equal to fixed finger. Smaller cheliped wirh narrower merus, longer carpus, more elongate propodus, and with long marginal scrac.
Pereopod 2 merus broad, rwice as long as wide; carpus half as long as merus; propodis longer rhan carpus; fixed finger cutting edge finely toothed; dactylus as long as fixed finger, rip straight.
Pereopod 3 proximal articles broad; propodus wider than long, with spiniform seta on distal end of serose lower margin; dactylus much narrower than propodus.
Pereopod 4 coxa broad and flat, separated by broad anterior extension of sternal ridge, articles more linear than in pereopods 2 and 3 ; propodus 1.7 times as long as wide, setose; dactylus with apical spiniform seta.
Pereopod 5 compact, weakly chelate; dactylus finely serrulate, closing on three spiniform setae. Thoracic stemite 7 especially broad, coxae of pereopods 4 well separated.
Pleopod 1 of male absent. Pleopods 1 of female geniculate. Plcopod 2 endopod rriangular, appendix interna 5 times as long as wide; exopod 3.5 times as long as wide, strap-like. Pleopods 3-5 essentially similar to pleopod 2.
Uropodal endopod triangular with broadly rounded lateral and mesial corners, with a transverse row of five spiniform setae near lateral apex; exopod subcircular, anterolateral corner rounded evenly, with dense marginal setae distally. Telson length 0.8 times width, evenly tapering to roun-ded-truncate apex.

Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | - | r | r | r | r | r | - | - |
| Podobranch | - | r | r | r | r | r | - | - |
| Arthrobranch | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## Remarks

This is the first detailed description of this spe-
cics which is only subtly different from the other rwo species described here.

## Thomassinia mooreat n.sp.

(Fig. 38)
Matiriar examinid. - Tahiti. Moorca I., Tiahura ( $17^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-149^{\circ} 50$ ' E$), \mathrm{B}$. A. Thomassin ( $\operatorname{stn}$ Tia 23), MNHN 'Th-1220 (holotype, ơ, d. 11.3 mm ).
Etrmolocy. - For Moorea I., the type locality (noun in apposition).

Distribution. - Tahiti.

## Diagnosis

Eyestalks closely adpressed and with acute mesial apex, angled distolaterally. Cephalorhorax with submarginal setal-row of about fiffeen setae. Larger cheliped fixed finger 0.4 length of propodus. Smaller cheliped with very weak tooth on fixed finger which is half length of propodus. Uropodal endoped with transverse row of nine spiniform sctae. 'lelson lengrh 0.6 width, distal margin almost straight. Percopod 3 with podobranch.

Branchial formula ( $\mathrm{r}=$ rudimentary):

| Thoracomere | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epipod | - | r | r | r | r | r | - | - |
| Podobranch | - | r | r | r | r | r | - | - |
| Arrhrobranch | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |

## Remarks

Only a short diagnosis is presented as the species is very similar to T. gebioides. The elongare fingers and shape of the merus on the smaller chelipeds are similat to those seen in T. aimsar but the shorter telson and presence of podobranch 6 distinguish it from this species.
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[^0]:    FIG. 20. - Michelea microphylla n.sp. A, habitus; B, anterior cephalothorax; $\mathbf{C}$, right side of thorax (carapace removed) to show
    coxae of maxilliped 3 and pereopods $1-5$, epipods and arthrobranchs; $\mathbf{D}$, maxilliped 1 ; $\mathbf{E}$, maxilliped 2 ; $\mathbf{F}$, maxilliped 3 ; $\mathbf{G}$, abdominal coxae of maxilliped 3 and pereopods 1-5, epipods and
    somite 6 , telson and uropod. All figures from holotype.

